## Comparative Study on the Corrosion Characteristics of Carbon Steel in Various Chloride-Containing Environments Simulating of Coastal Condition

Seoeun Jang <sup>ab</sup>, Hyunkyung Choi <sup>a</sup>, Gwang- Min Sun <sup>a</sup>, Hyun Ho An <sup>c</sup>, Nam-Chul Cho <sup>b</sup>, Jaeyeon Kim <sup>b</sup>, GyuHo Kim <sup>b</sup>, Young Rang Uhm <sup>a\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Korea Atomic Energy Research Institute, HANARO Utilization Division, Republic of Korea, Daejeon 34057 <sup>b</sup>Kongju National University, Department of Cultural Heritage Conservation Science, Republic of Korea, Gongju 32588 <sup>c</sup> Konyang University, Department of Biomedical Materials, Republic of Korea, Daejeon 35365 <sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: uyrang@kaeri.re.kr

\*Keywords: carbon steel, nuclear power plant, corrosion, chloride

#### 1. Introduction

The durability of reinforced concrete (RC), a key structural material for various structures located in coastal areas such as factories, thermal and nuclear power plants, and high-rise buildings, is essential for securing long-term stability. Chlorides, acting as a major aggressive factor in marine environments, penetrate into concrete and cause critical corrosion of the reinforcing steel. Since rebar corrosion is influenced by various environmental factors, model experiments reflecting the diversity of these coastal environments are absolutely necessary for the safety of structures.

This study made a significant discovery while establishing a chloride corrosion model for iron cultural heritage artifacts in coastal areas. It was found that the chloride corrosion trend of pseudo-specimens, manufactured to emulate Joseon Dynasty gray cast iron (characterized by a carbon content exceeding 3%), could show similarities to the corrosion patterns of carbon steel extensively used in modern structures, such as nuclear power plants. This similarity suggests that historical iron heritage corrosion research can provide crucial insights for improving the durability of modern structures.By comparing corrosion characteristics in various chloride-containing solutions and exposure environments, this study aims to provide fundamental data to prevent structural deterioration in factories, thermal and nuclear power plants, and high-rise buildings. In particular, comparative research on the corrosion trends of gray cast iron pseudo-specimens and modern carbon steel structures can serve as an important reference point for evaluating the long-term durability of structures exposed to coastal environments.

Through this research, we can gain a deeper understanding of the carbon steel corrosion mechanism in marine atmospheric environments and ultimately contribute to extending the lifespan and ensuring the safety of critical infrastructure located in coastal regions.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Materials

In this study, two pieces of carbon steels measuring 5 cm × 5 cm were prepared for seperate corrosion experiments. One piece was placed in contact with 1M NaCl solution at the center, and the other piece was placed in contact with 0.33 M FeCl<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O solution; both were maintained for 10 days, subsequently airdried for 20 days. Afterwards, a total of 4 corrosion product samples were obtained from two pieces and subjected to scientific analyses. Table 1 presents the names of the samples according to the corrosion solution and exposure environment.

Table I: Sample description

| Sample  | Corrosion solution                   | Exposure environment   |
|---------|--------------------------------------|------------------------|
| N_Imm   | NaCl                                 | solution immersed      |
| N_Inter | NaCi                                 | solution-air interface |
| F_Imm   | FeCl <sub>3</sub> ·6H <sub>2</sub> O | solution immersed      |
| F_Inter | геС13 <sup>1</sup> 0П2О              | solution-air interface |





Fig. 1. Carbon steel specimen after corrosion testing

#### 2.2 Methods

Each sample was first phase-identified by Raman spectroscopy in the bulk state. Since Raman spectroscopy can be biased towards localized information limited to the surface of the investigated point, XRD analysis was additionally performed on the powder form scraped from each area to cross-verify the crystalline phase. Finally, Mössbauer spectroscopy was performed for precise identification and quantification of iron-containing phases based on the XRD and Raman analysis results.

#### 3. Results

3.1 Corrosion experiment using NaCl solution

Raman spectroscopy revealed the presence of akaganeite in N Imm and N Inter exhibited a spectrum similar to magnetite. XRD analysis confirmed that akaganeite was the dominant phase in N\_Imm with a minor presence of goethite, while N Inter was found to have akaganeite and magnetite as the main phases. Quantitative evaluation via Mössbauer spectroscopy indicated that N\_Imm contained approximately 23.7% goethite and 76.3% akaganeite with superparamagnetic whereas N Inter contained goethite, 23.8% magnetite(maghemite), 35.9% goethite, and 40.3% akaganeite with superparamagnetic goethite.

#### 3.2 Corrosion experiment using FeCl<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O solution

Raman and XRD analyses only confirmed the presence of Akaganeite in F\_Imm and F\_Air, and no differences were revealed between them. Mössbauer analysis of the F\_Inter section showed a doublet likely originating from akaganeite, along with a minor sextet. To clearly identify these phases, further low-temperature experiments are necessary.

Table II: Corrosive factors and Analyzed Corrosion Product of Each Sample

| Sample  | Corrosive factor   | Product  |
|---------|--|--|
| N_Imm   | dissolved oxygen<br>high humidity<br>chloride-rich<br>nearly neutral pH  | Akaganeite<br>Goethite                             |
| N_Inter | atmospherically supplied oxygen<br>presence of moisture<br>chloride-rich | Magnetite<br>(Maghemite)<br>Akaganeite<br>Goethite |
| F_Imm   | dissolved oxygen<br>high humidity<br>chloride-rich<br>strongly acidic pH | Akaganeite   |
| F_Inter | atmospherically supplied oxygen<br>presence of moisture<br>chloride-rich | Akaganeite   |

#### 4. Conclusions

# 4.1 Comparison according to the type of the corrosion solution

The most significant difference between the two solutions is their acidity. pH measurements indicated that the NaCl solution was close to neutral of pH 5.20, whereas the FeCl<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O solution was strongly acidic with a pH of 1.18. The difference in acidity directly affects the type of stable compounds formed. According to the Pourbaix diagram that illustrates the stable states of iron as a function of pH and corrosion potential in a chloride-containing environment, the formation of iron oxide and hydroxide films is difficult in acidic environments. This tendency was also corroborated in this study: the presence of Magnetite(maghemite) and Goethite was clearly observed in the NaCl experiment, whereas such phases

were scarcely detectable in the FeCl<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O experiment. Furthermore, in the FeCl<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O experiment, not only oxygen but also ferric iron in the solution acted as a reducing agent, leading to a more active corrosion reaction.

## 4.2 Comparison according to the of exposure environment

O<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O are the primary factors that cause iron corrosion. In this sense the most significant environmental difference between the immersed area and interfacial area is the degree of O<sub>2</sub> supply. At the immersed area, iron oxidation occurs due to dissolved oxygen in the solution. In contrast, at the interfacial area, oxygen is continuously supplied from the atmosphere, resulting in the rapid formation of corrosion products. Furthermore, the galvanic cell structure, where the oxygen-rich atmosphere acts as a cathode and the oxygen-limited immersed area acts as an anode, can further accelerate corrosion. Actually, although the types of corrosion products differ between the two experiments, the interfacial area clearly exhibits the abundant amount of corrosion products. In the case of the NaCl solution, magnetite was predominantly formed due the adequate supply of oxygen by the presence of moisture. And in the case of the FeCl<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O solution, akaganeite, which is known to form readily in acidic, chloride-rich environments, was predominant.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Kenny Ståhl, Kurt Nielsen, Jianzhong Jiang, Bente Lebech, Jonathan C. Hanson, Poul Norby, Jettie van Lanschot, On the akaganéite crystal structure, phase transformations and possible role in post-excavational corrosion of iron artifacts, Corrosion Science, Vol 45, p. 2563-2575, 2003
- [2] Sim-Kun Min, Jae Hyung Lee, Jae-Bong Lee, Byyeong-Chan An, A study on desalization and corrosion products formed on salinized archaeological iron artifacts, Vol 40, p. 44-56, 2007
- [3] R. M. Cornell, U. Schwertmann, The Iron Oxides: Structure, Properties, Reactions, Occurences and Uses, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 2003

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The sample was provided by Kongju National University. This work was supported by the Korea government (MSIT) (1711078081) and the National Research Institute of Cultural Heritage grant funded by the Korea Heritage Service (No. RS-2021-NC100301).