Shaking Table Tests of a Small-scale Metafoundation Model to Reduce Vibrations of SSCs

Jin Ho Lee^{a*}, An Mau Nhat Nguyen^a, Jeong-Rae Cho^b, Sangho Lee^b, Hyejin Yoon^b, Dong-Uk Park^c, Bub-Gyu Jeon

^aDepartment of Ocean Engineering, Pukyong National University, 45 Yongso-ro, Nam-gu, Busan 48513 ^bDepartment of Structural Engineering Research, Korea Institute of Civil Engineering and Building Technology, 283

Goyang-daero, Ilsanseo-gu, Goyang-si, Gyeonggi-do 10223 ^c Seismic Research and Test Center, Pusan Nat'l Univ., 49 Busandaehak-ro, Yangsan-si, Gyeongsangnam-do 50612 ^{*}Corresponding author: jholee0218@pknu.ac.kr

*Keywords : metamaterial, metafoundation, metafloor, vibration isolation, SSC

1. Introduction

In recent years, metamaterials have been developed to manipulate electromagnetic, acoustic, and elastic waves through various media. These engineered materials exhibit unique properties not found in nature by systematically arranging unit cells smaller than the controlled wavelength in one or more directions. Initially designed to control electromagnetic waves, metamaterials have since been extended to acoustic and elastic wave applications. The concept of elastic metamaterials has also been applied to seismic wave control, with laboratory experiments confirming their effectiveness [1]. Seismic metamaterials are categorized into buried mass-resonators, seismic soil-metamaterials, above-surface resonators, and auxetic materials [2, 3].

In this study, a series of shaking table tests are conducted on a small-scale metafoundation system to evaluate its effectiveness in reducing vibrations in a structural system caused by seismic waves [4]. An acrylic plastic metafoundation model is constructed, and its transfer functions for horizontal and vertical excitations are determined through white noise and sine sweep tests. The attenuation zones identified from the tests are analyzed and validated using frequency band gaps (FBGs) obtained from numerical simulations. In addition, a small-scale simple structure is mounted on the metafoundation, and the dynamic characteristics of the system are assessed using white noise and sine sweep signals as input motions to the shaking table. The seismic responses of the structural system are then examined to assess the ability of the metafoundation to mitigate the dynamic responses.

2. Small-scale model of a metafoundation

The unit cell of a metafoundation shown in Fig. 1 is considered in this study. The unit cell consists of a cubic concrete core, a cubic concrete cover box, and six square rubber pads. The material properties for the concrete and rubber in the prototype unit cell are given in Table I. The dimensions of the prototype are given in Table II.

A small-scale model of the metafoundation is constructed using the acrylic plastic and the EPDM (ethylene propylene diene monomer) rubber foam. The material properties and dimensions for the small-scale model are given in Tables I and II, respectively.



Fig. 1. Unit cell of the metafoundation.

Material property			Prototype	Model
Concrete	Your modulus	ng's s (GPa)	30	2.94
(prototype)	Poisson's ratio		0.25	0.37
or	Density (kg/m ³)	Cover	2400	1180
acrylic		Core	M1: 1845	908
plastic (model)			M2: 2030	983
			M3: 2200	1073
			M4: 2400	1180
Rubber	Young's modulus (MPa)		2	0.199
	Poisson's ratio		0.49	0.49
	Density (kg/m ³)		1000	130

 Table I: Material properties of the prototype and small-scale model of the unit cell

Table II: Dimensions of the prototype and small-scale model of the unit-cell

Dimension	Prototype	Small-scale model
a _{cover}	1.4 m	0.2 m
t _{cover}	0.07 m	0.01 m
a _{core}	1 m	0.14 m
a _{rubber}	0.25 m	0.035 m
t _{rubber}	0.14 m	0.02 m

By combining the above small-scale unit cells, a small-scale model of a metafoundation is fabricated. Fig. 2 shows the metafoundation system without a superstructure. A metafoundation system with a building in Fig. 3 is also considered in this study. A series of shaking table tests are carried out with the small-scale metafoundation system.



Fig. 2. Small-scale model of a metafoundation without a structure.



Fig. 3. Small-scale model of a metafoundation with a structure.

3. Conclusions

The shaking table tests on the small-scale metafoundation system show that the metafoundation effectively reduces the seismic response of SSCs. The frequency range in which this reduction occurs is determined by the attenuation zone or frequency band gap (FBG) of the metamaterial. Consequently, the dynamic response of a structural system can be controlled by designing a metafoundation with an optimized FBG and attenuation zone to achieve the desired performance. The metafoundation can be modified to create a metafloor system for vibration isolation of equipment in nuclear facilities.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Korea Agency for Infrastructure Technology Advancement (KAIA) grant (grant No. RS-2021-KA163162) funded by the Ministry of Land, Infrastructure and Transport, and by the KICT research program (project no. 20240511-001, Research based on seismic risk assessment and seismic performance advancement considering city characteristics) funded by the Ministry of Science and ICT.

REFERENCES

[1] M. Kadic, T. Bückmann, R. Schittny, and M. Wegener M, Metamaterials beyond electromagnetism, Reports on Progress in Physics, Vol.76, 126501, 2013.

[2] S. Brûlé, S. Enoch, and S. Guenneau, Emergence of seismic metamaterials: Current state and future perspectives, Physics Letters A, Vol.384, 126034, 2020.

[3] D.-T. Nuyen and J.H. Lee, Dynamic Analysis of a Periodic Soil–Structure Interaction System to Reduce Ground Vibration, Earthquake Engineering and Structural Dynamics, Vol.54, pp.1123-1310, 2025.

[4] J.H. Lee, A.M.N. Nguyen, J.-R. Cho, S. Lee, H. Yoon, D.-U. Park, and B.-G. Jeon, Shaking Table Tests of a Small-scale Metafoundation Model, Earthquake Engineering and Structural Dynamics (in review).