

#### Development of BEPU Methodology using Multi-Physics Coupling Code based on RAST-K

UNIST CORE Lab.

Jinsu Park and Deokjung Lee\*

2023.05.18 (Th)

KNS2023S

#### CONTACT

Ulsan National Institute of Science and Technology Address 50 UNIST-gil, Ulju-gun, Ulsan, 44919, Korea Tel. +82 52 217 0114 Web. www.unist.ac.kr Computational Reactor physics & Experiment lab Tel, +82 52 217 2940 Web, reactorcore.unist.ac.kr

### Contents

- **1. Introduction**
- 2. Multi-Physics Coupling
  - Coupled codes
  - Coupling parameters
  - Coupling algorithm
- 3. BEPU Analysis
  - Uncertainty quantification methodology
  - Rod ejection scenario
  - Reactor safety parameters
- 4. Conclusions



Development of BEPU Methodology using Multi Physics coupling code based on RAST-K

## Nodal Diffusion Code RAST-K v2

- Developed by UNIST since 2017
- Funded by KHNP-CRI

#### Features and methodologies

- XS generation: STREAM
- XS functionalize
- Nodal solver: MG UNM + CMFD
- Pin-by-pin kernel
- 1-D channel TH solver
- Micro depletion with CRAM
- Core design & analysis

# Application

- Practical PWR design
- V&V for PWR in Korea
- Multi-physics coupling



### Application of RAST-K



- Necessity of Multi-Physics Coupling
  - Independent development of reactor analysis code in each physics area
    - Ex.) power history from Neutronics  $\rightarrow$  TH and safety analysis
- - $\rightarrow$  Fuel performance analysis
  - Advantage of one-way coupling
    - Easy to use
    - Code maintenance
    - Obtain conservatism
  - Disadvantage of one-way coupling
    - Accumulation of conservatism
  - Strengthening of safety-related regulation
    - Increase of demand for high-fidelity solution excluding conservatism

#### IJCIST CORE

#### Simplified TH module

#### 1D heat convection of coolant

- Fixed pressure during steady state (negligible pressure drop)
  - > Solve only mass continuity and energy conservation
  - > Evaluation of water properties at single pressure
- Closed channel
  - > Parallel 1D channel / No cross-flow
- Core exit water condition remains sub-cooled
  - > Dittus-Boelter heat regime / Single-phase formulation

#### • 1D heat conduction in fuel

- Heat produced in pellet is deposited in the coolant
  - > No heat conduction in axial direction
- Ignore TCD effect / Constant gap conductance

#### • Accuracy of simplified TH module for transient?

- Two-phase? Heat regime? Cross-flow?
- Pellet-to-cladding interaction? Dynamic gap conductance?

#### UNIST CORE

#### Objective of BEPU Approach

- Demand of best-estimate solution
  - Pessimistic hypothesis provides excessive conservatism
  - Impossible to satisfy enforced safety regulation
- UQSA
  - Accuracy and its confidence level obtained simultaneously
- Source of uncertainty
  - Modeling and simulation
    - › Geometry (manufacturing)
    - > Material property, ...
    - Model (including physical and mechanical properties)
  - Nuclear data
    - > Cross-section
    - > Covariance matrix
- Stochastic sampling
  - Statistical process

- BEPU approach vs. Conservative approach
  - 1) Conservative approach



- BEPU approach vs. Conservative approach
  - 2) Strengthen acceptance criterion



- BEPU approach vs. Conservative approach
  - 3) Necessity of BE solution



- BEPU approach vs. Conservative approach
  - 4) Achieve more margin even with BE solution + Uncertainty



# 2. MP Coupling based on RAST-K



Development of BEPU Methodology using Multi Physics coupling code based on RAST-K

# **Summary of Coupled Codes**

#### • CTF

- For LWR modeling, subchannel, two-phase, ...
- Provide CTF\_Coupling\_Interface module

	Internal TH solver	CTF
Calculation unit	Equivalent pin (node-wise)	Pin-wise (subchannel)
Two-phase	No	Yes
No. of conservation equation	1	8
Cross-flow	No	Yes
Boron tracking	No	Yes
CHF & DNBR	Yes (limited W-3)	Yes
Burnup dependent material property	No	No
Fuel mechanical model	No	No
Computational time	Low	High (~5 hours)
Memory	Low	High (2.7GB)

UNIST CORE

# **Summary of Coupled Codes**

- FRAPCON & FRAPTRAN
  - LWR fuel rod
  - Pellet-to-cladding heat transfer, mechanical deformation, pellet-to-cladding mechanical interaction, elastic-plastic deformation, fission gas release, cladding oxidation, hydrogen pickup, burnup, ...





# **Summary of Coupled Codes**

#### • FRAPI

- Initialization
- Time-step advancing
- Data exchange
- Data saving and loading on memory or file
- Writing restart file
- Multi-rod simulation



# **Coupling Parameters**

#### Data exchange between coupled code

• Power ⇔ Coolant ⇔ Fuel



Channel centered

Pin centered

# **Coupling Algorithm**

#### Flowchart of coupled code



# **Depletion Calculation using RAST-K MP**



F F 10 0 0 0 Т T 11 Т 0 0 12 0 F 0 F 0 F 0 Т 0 T 13 14 0 F 0 F 0 15 F 0 Т 0 F Т F F F Τ 16 17 F Τ F Τ

Unist Core

• 241 FA

J

0

9

K

0

L

Т

Μ

0

### Burnup at Cycle 1 (BOC/MOC/EOC)



BCDEFGHJKLMNPRST









A B C D E F G H J K L M N P R S T



#### Fuel Thermal Conductivity at Cycle 1 (BOC/MOC/EOC)



A B C D E F G H J K L M N P R S T









RST JK P - L. M N 3.8 11 3.6 12 13 34 14 3.2 15 16 17

#### Fuel Thermal Conductivity vs. Burnup



UNIST CORE

#### Gap Conductance at Cycle 1 (BOC/MOC/EOC)













#### Gap Conductance vs. Burnup



Unist core

#### Fuel Average Temperature at Cycle 1 (BOC/MOC/EOC)













#### Summary

Compared t	to Measured	NDR	RAST-K standalone	RAST-K MP
CBC	Mean	-2.85	1.26	1.18
	Abs. STD	27.10	32.89	33.45
ASI	Mean	0.0004	0.0013	0.0009
	Abs. STD	0.0144	0.0131	0.0126
FA Power	Mean	0.002	0.003	0.003
	Abs. STD	0.019	0.018	0.018

#### Performance

Components	<b>RAST-K standalone</b>	Ratio	RAST-K MP	Ratio
Total Simulation	<mark>208.893</mark>	-	<mark>15477 (=4.3h)</mark>	-
1. RAST-K	206.724	98.96%	443.007	2.86%
1.1 Initialize	24.040	11.51%	30.278	0.20%
1.2 Neutronics	18.243	8.73%	48.903	0.32%
1.3 TH feedback	19.449	9.31%	15.551	0.10%
1.4 XS feedback	48.401	23.17%	114.528	0.74%
1.5 Depletion	81.538	39.03%	103.927	0.67%
1.6 Pin power recon.	1.721	0.82%	65.513	0.42%
1.7 Write	13.332	6.38%	64.307	0.42%
2. CTF	-	-	<mark>8637 (=2.4h)</mark>	55.80%
3. FRAPCON	-	-	6395.342 (=1.8h)	41.32%

# 3. BEPU Methodology



Development of BEPU Methodology using Multi Physics coupling code based on RAST-K

#### • CSAU

- Code Scaling, Applicability and Uncertainty
  - published in 1990 by the U.S.NRC
  - RELAP5/MOD3.1 for PCT during LBLOCA

## • K-REM

- KINS-Realistic Evaluation Methodology
  - originally developed based on CSAU in 1991
  - RELAP5/MOD3.1K for PCT during LBLOCA





# $\bullet PCT_{FINAL} = PCT_{95/95} + B_{SCALE} + B_{SET} + B_{IET} + B_{PLANT}$

- $B_{SET}$ ,  $B_{IET}$ 
  - Bias from the discrepancy between calculation result and experiment result from SET/IET
- **B**<sub>SCALE</sub>
  - Bias from the scaling distortion of phenomena or model of code
- B<sub>PLANT</sub>
  - Bias from the uncertainty of operating parameter of plant, which is excluded in step 3
- *PCT*<sub>95/95</sub>
  - Combination of PCT and statistical uncertainty from individual models and variables with 95% probability level and 95% confidence level
  - *PCT*<sub>95/95</sub> was determined through a large number of MC simulation for the response surface methodology produced from the sampled code calculation results, which was similar to the CSAU method
- The 95/95 tolerance limit of PCT can be directly calculated without response surface methodology in non-parametric statistics based on minimum number of simulation from Wilks's formula

#### Stochastic sampling method

- Latin Hypercube Sampling
  - Partitioning the CDF into even region, randomly pickup in each region
  - Available to sample Uniform/Normal distribution
- Proper number of samples?
  - Wilks' non-parametric formula:  $\sum_{j=0}^{N-p} \frac{N!}{(N-j)!j!} \alpha^j (1-\alpha)^{N-j} \ge \beta$
  - Ex. Wilks' theorem for a one-sided 3rd-order statistics tolerance limit

$$1 - \alpha^{N} - N(1 - \alpha)\alpha^{N-1} - \frac{N * (N - 1)}{2}(1 - \alpha)^{2}\alpha^{N-2} \ge \beta$$

Various Tables for statistically meaningful number of simulations are derived from the above Equation (2) for different orders' one-sided approach, Equation (4) for the  $1^{st}$  order two-sided approach and Equation (5) for the  $2^{nd}$  order two-sided approach. Table 1 to Table 3 summarize the minimum numbers of code runs necessary for the  $1^{st}$ ,  $2^{nd}$  and  $3^{rd}$  order statistics, respectively, when users require to perform the simulations for the one-sided approach. Table 4 and Table 5 summarize the numbers for the  $1^{st}$  and  $2^{nd}$  order statistics each for the two-sided approach. For example, the minimum number of required code runs for the condition of 95<sup>th</sup> percentile / 95 % confidence level are:

- 59 for 1<sup>st</sup> order one-sided,
- 93 for 2<sup>nd</sup> order one-sided,
- 124 for 3<sup>rd</sup> order one-sided,
- 146 for 1<sup>st</sup> order two-sided (against the present 93) and
- 221 for 2<sup>nd</sup> order two-sided.

#### UNIST

#### **Stochastic Sampling Method**

- Nuclear Data Perturbation
  - 72g covariance matrix for 144 nuclides
  - ENDF/B-VII.1 library





#### Input Parameter Perturbation

#### • TH simulation

- Core power, Coolant flow rate, System pressure, Inlet temperature
- Turbulent-mixing coefficient, Weight of void drift model
- Spacer grid width, Spacer grid loss coefficient, Guide tube diameter
- DMHR

#### • FP simulation

- Pellet density, Pellet outer diameter, Initial gap thickness, Cladding thickness, Rod fill gas pressure, Plenum length, U-235 concentration, Gadolinia enrich, Pellet roughness, Cladding roughness
- Dish shoulder width, Dish height

#### Reference

- UAM Benchmark Phase I & II
- Paper (Experimental Database of Two-Phase Natural Circulation with Local Measurements, PNE, 116:124, 2019)
- NUREG/CR-7001, 7022, 7024
- Assumption

#### UNIST CORE

### **UQ for Depletion Calculation**

#### Summary of UQ for depletion calculation

- Uncertainty of design parameter mainly come from nuclear data
- However, uncertainty of PFT come from ?

Parameter	State	All	Input parameter	Nuclear data
CBC	BOC	1231.91 ± 79.77	$1232.72 \pm 2.47$	$1232.07 \pm 80.00$
	MOC	743.58 ± 69.46	741.86 ± 4.08	743.64 ± 69.63
	EOC	$-10.97 \pm 54.39$	-6.06 ± 7.79	$-10.60 \pm 53.76$
	BOC	-0.0071 ± 0.0076	$-0.0083 \pm 0.0004$	$-0.0073 \pm 0.0066$
ASI	MOC	$0.0181 \pm 0.0067$	$0.0181 \pm 0.0022$	$0.0179 \pm 0.0046$
	EOC	$0.0166 \pm 0.0127$	$0.0158 \pm 0.0048$	$0.0167 \pm 0.0118$
	BOC	$1.6134 \pm 0.0201$	$1.6011 \pm 0.0027$	$1.6124 \pm 0.0212$
Fq	MOC	$1.6756 \pm 0.0173$	$1.6629 \pm 0.0055$	$1.6748 \pm 0.0171$
	EOC	$1.6041 \pm 0.0383$	$1.5938 \pm 0.0148$	$1.6021 \pm 0.0343$
Max. PFT	BOC	1262.01 ± 21.94	$1256.64 \pm 14.66$	$1261.97 \pm 14.43$
	MOC	1349.24 ± 23.31	1337.54 ± 16.69	1348.76 ± 16.54
	EOC	1399.38 ± 29.25	$1388.52 \pm 19.94$	1395.24 ± 24.28

#### Scenario

#### • Initially R5, R4 Bank fully insertion, R3 40% insertion



#### Transient Result (Linear pin power dist.)



- Transient Result
  - Maximum fuel centerline temperature
  - Maximum fuel enthalpy
    - Peak at the end of transient



UNIST CORE

### Transient Result

- Max coolant temperature
  - Rises more than 10 C from inlet
- MDNBR
  - Heat capacity of fuel pellet





UNIST CORE

#### Transient Result

- Black line = Nominal
- Red line = 95<sup>th</sup> percentile among all perturbed sample
  - 95% confidence that the true peak value is below the 95<sup>th</sup> tolerance limit



#### Safety parameters



#### Summary of UQ for EOC HZP REA

• Current BEPU methodology reduce the safety margin?

Parameter	Nominal	Mean ± Abs. STD	95/95 Tolerance Limit
Peak power (%)	99.73	117.86 ± 24.60	163.02
Peak reactivity (\$)	1.177	$1.194 \pm 0.022$	1.234
Peak fuel centerline temp. (C)	516.55	526.69 ± 42.62	649.43
Peak fuel enthalpy (cal/g)	26.95	27.96 ± 1.63	32.73
Peak fuel enthalpy-rise (cal/g)	9.58	10.61 ± 1.69	15.53
Peak outlet temperature (C)	296.61	296.5 ± 2.79	301.51
MDNBR (-)	1.517	$1.476 \pm 0.104$	1.309

#### Hot pin + Simplified TH vs. Hot pin + CTF + FRAP vs. MP

#### • Fuel centerline temperature

UDIST



# Hot pin + Simplified TH vs. Hot pin + CTF + FRAP vs. MP

#### • Fuel enthalpy

UDIST



# Hot pin + Simplified TH vs. Hot pin + CTF + FRAP vs. MP



UDIST



# 4. Conclusions



#### Development of BEPU Methodology using Multi Physics coupling code based on RAST-K

### Conclusions

#### Multi-physics Coupling

- Coupling with subchannel TH code, CTF
- Coupling with fuel performance, FRAPCON & FRAPTRAN (via FRAPI)
- Detail coupling parameter and algorithm is demonstrated
- Perform multi-cycle depletion calculation
  - Fuel temperature behavior during depletion is changed by considering detail fuel behavior such as TCD and dynamic gap conductance
  - It is observed that simplified TH module is enough for SS depletion

## BEPU Methodology

- Stochastic sampling method is employed
  - Nuclear data & Input parameters perturbation
- UQ for depletion calculation
  - Uncertainty of global parameters (CBC, ASI, Fq) is mainly coming from nuclear data pert
  - Perturbations of XS and input evenly contribute to uncertainty of TH-related outputs

#### • Perform UQ for REA transient simulations

- Tolerance limit of safety parameter can be observed by BEPU methodology

#### UNIST CORE

#