# CTMT Depressurization by Reducing Steam and Carbon Dioxide Partial Pressures with a CaO/Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub>-based Reaction System

Dong Hoon Kam<sup>a</sup>, Yong Hoon Jeong<sup>a\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Nuclear and Quantum Engineering, Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology 291, Daehak-ro, Yuseong-gu, Daejeon, 305-701, Republic of Korea \*Corresponding author: jeongyh@kaist.ac.kr

#### 1. Introduction

The containment of the nuclear power plant, a robust barrier toward the environment, should be kept intact during accident progressions. One of crucial factors that threatens the integrity is over-pressurization. The containment structural intactness shows a direct with the applied internal pressure relationship conditions [1,2]. Also, release rate through the containment is strongly dependent upon the pressure condition [3]. During the early phases after LOCAs(Loss-Of-Coolant Accidents), ejected steam from the RCS(Reactor Coolant System) can increase the internal pressure. Without mitigation systems, the internal pressure radically increases in short time reaching the design limit [4,5]. In addition, when proper remedies do not work in time, accidents change into the severe accident phase. Molten corium during the severe accident progression causes radical non-condensable gas generation during interactions with the concrete(MCCI), which induces pressurization along with the hydrogen. On this basis, several mitigation approaches, like spray, coolant supply systems, severe accident mitigation strategies, hydrogen removal facilities and external actions, are being adopted to suppress the extent of damage by reducing the internal pressure. In this study, a CaO/Ca(OH)2-based reaction system is suggested with preliminary simulations. CaO absorbs steam in the environment, transforming itself into Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub>; the Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> can be used to capture the emitted CO<sub>2</sub> during the severe accident progression. With the system, prevention of radical pressure increase can be realized in both early phases and late phases after the LOCAs.

### 2. Methods and Results

The simulation process is divided into two: steam absorption(for the early phase depressurization) and  $CO_2$  absorption(for the late phase depressurization).

#### 2.1 Steam Absorption with CaO

Steam adsorption rate can be described in terms of 'time of complete conversion' and time according to Criado et al. [6]. The complete conversion time is determined by the particle size, environmental conditions (temperature and partial pressure).

$$X_{H_2O}(t) = 1 - \left[1 - \frac{t}{t_{complete}}\right]^3$$

At each time-step, the amount of heat transfer that is made by the exothermic reaction, radiation and heat absorption is considered along with mass change of the elements during steam absorption process.

$$\begin{split} \underbrace{ c_{p,CaO} M_{CaO}(t) + c_{p,Ca(OH)_2} M_{Ca(OH)_2}(t) \left[ \left\{ T_{CaO}(t) - T_{CaO}(t - \Delta t) \right\} \right. \\ \left. \epsilon \sigma A_{CaO} \left[ \left\{ T_{CaO}(t) \right\}^4 - \left\{ T_{steam}(t) \right\}^4 \right] \\ \left. Q_{exothermic} \times \left\{ n_{H_2O}(t) - n_{H_2O}(t - \Delta t) \right\} \end{split}$$



Fig. 1. Simulation process for the steam absorption with CaO.

#### 2.2 $CO_2$ absorption with $Ca(OH)_2$

The  $CO_2$  absorption rate can be expressed in terms of relative humidity, specific surface area of the  $Ca(OH)_2$  particles and the environmental temperature according to Shih et al. [7]. Based on the acquired change rate with time, the amount of mass change can be calculated accordingly.

$$\frac{dX_{Ca(OH)_2}}{dt} = k_1 \left( 1 - k_2 X_{Ca(OH)_2} \right)$$

Where,

$$\begin{split} k_{i} &= A_{i} \exp \left(-E_{i} / RT\right) \\ A_{1} &= 1.11 S_{P} \left\{-\ln \left(RH\right)\right\}^{-0.96} \\ A_{2} &= 5.31 / S_{P} \exp \left(0.603 / RH\right) \\ E_{1} &= 17.4 \, kJ / mol \\ E_{2} &= -2.9 \, kJ / mol \end{split}$$

$$\left[M_{Ca(OH)_{2}+CaCO_{3}}(t) - M_{Ca(OH)_{2}}(0)\right] = \frac{X_{Ca(OH)_{2}}(t)\left\{\left(A_{CaCO_{3}} - A_{Ca(OH)_{2}}\right)M_{Ca(OH)_{2}}(0)\right\}}{A_{Ca(OH)_{2}}}$$

As done in the steam absorption simulation, the amount of heat transfer is calculated at each time-step, and mass change of the consisting elements is tracked to simulate the pressure change.

$$\begin{split} \left[ c_{p,Ca(OH)_{2}} M_{Ca(OH)_{2}}(t) + c_{p,CaCO_{3}} M_{CaCO_{3}}(t) \right] & \left\{ T_{Ca(OH)_{2}}(t) - T_{Ca(OH)_{2}}(t - \Delta t) \right\} \\ \\ \epsilon \sigma A_{Ca(OH)_{2}} \left[ \left\{ T_{Ca(OH)_{2}}(t) \right\}^{4} - \left\{ T_{CO_{2}}(t) \right\}^{4} \right] \end{split}$$

$$Q_{\text{exothermic}} \times \left\{ n_{\text{CO}_2}(t) - n_{\text{CO}_2}(t - \Delta t) \right\}$$



Fig. 2. Simulation process for the  $CO_2$  absorption with  $Ca(OH)_2$ .

## 2.3 Steam and CO<sub>2</sub> Partial Pressure with Time

The steam partial pressure radically decreases right after the activation of the system, while the absolute amount of the removed steam is smaller than the silica gel-based system proposed by the research team before [8]. For the  $CO_2$  removal phase, the  $CO_2$  is continuously captured until the saturation states. For both of the absorption process, absolute amount of the initial CaO plays an important role on the overall trends.



Fig. 3. Simulation of the steam absorption process.



Fig. 4. Simulation of the CO<sub>2</sub> absorption process(0.5 bar).



Fig. 5. Simulation of the CO<sub>2</sub> absorption process(1.0 bar).



Fig. 6. Simulation of the CO<sub>2</sub> absorption process(2.0 bar).



Fig. 7. Simulation of the CO<sub>2</sub> absorption process(4.0 bar).

#### 3. Conclusions

A CaO/Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub>-based reaction system is proposed and corresponding preliminary simulations have been carried out in this study to mitigate over-pressurization inside the containment. Through the system, excess amount of steam is captured at early phases right after the LOCAs, and  $CO_2$  is captured at late phases after the MCCI. With the system, we can mitigate the accident progression based on easy-applicable technologies. Its low cost and well-known characteristics can provide the potential applicability in the future.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by KOREA HYDRO & NUCLEAR POWER CO., LTD. (No. 2018-TECH-06), and the KUSTAR-KAIST Institute, KAIST, Korea.

#### REFERENCES

[1] A. H. Sheikh, A simplified approach for predicting containment performance during a severe accident, Transactions, SMiRT 19, Toronto, August, 2007.

[2] A. H. Barbat, M. Cervera, A. Hanganu, C. Cirauqui and E. Oñateab, Failure pressure evaluation of the containment building of a large dry nuclear power plant, Nuclear Engineering and Design, Vol. 180(3), pp. 251-270, 1998.

[3] Y. Choi, D. H. Kam and Y. H. Jeong, Improvement of the Self-Sealing Simulation Code Including the Solid Layer, 2019 ANS Winter Meeting and Nuclear Technology EXPO, Washington DC, USA, Nov. 17-21, 2019.

[4] J. W. Park, S. J. Oh, H. T. Kim, Y. H. Lee and D. W. Jeong, Assessment of In-Vessel Core Debris Coolability for the APR1400 Design, Korea Hydro & Nuclear Power Co., Ltd.

[5] S. -G. Lim, D. -H. Kim, J. -M. Lee, S. -W. Lee, H. -G. Kim and H. C. No, Prediction of heat removal performance for passive containment cooling system using MARS-KS code version 1.14, Transactions of the Korean Nuclear Society Spring Meeting, Jeju, Korea, May 18-19, 2017.

[6] Y. A. Criado, M. Alonso and J. C. Abanades, Kinetics of the CaO/Ca(OH)2 Hydration/Dehydration Reaction for Thermochemical Energy Storage Applications, Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research, Vol. 53, pp. 12594-12601, 2014.

[7] S. -M. Shih, C. -S. Ho, Y. -S. Song and J. -P. Lin, Kinetics of the Reaction of Ca(OH)2 with CO2 at Low Temperature, Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research, Vol. 38, pp. 1316-1322, 1999.

[8] D. H. Kam and Y. H. Jeong, Pressurized Environments and Effect of Silica Gel Amount on Depressurization Inside the Containment, The 18th International Topical Meeting on Nuclear Reactor Thermalhydraulics (NURETH-18), Portland, USA, Aug. 18-23, 2019.