Experimental Facility for Supercritical CO₂ Leakage to High Pressure Water

Jae Jun Lee, Jeong Ik Lee*

Department of Nuclear and Quantum engineering, Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology (KAIST) 291 Daehak-ro, (373-1, Guseong-dong), Yuseong-gu, Daejeon 34141, Republic of KOREA *Corresponding author: jeongiklee@kaist.ac.kr

1. Introduction

In general, many existing ships and submarines powered by nuclear power are equipped with Pressurized Water Reactor (PWR) and a steam Rankine cycle. Despite of many advantages of the steam Rankine cycle, it has some drawbacks such as large volume of system, steam quality control at turbine, and corrosion of structure material [1]. To overcome these limitations of the steam Rankine cycle, a supercritical CO₂ (S-CO₂) cycle can be a good alternative because the S-CO₂ cycle offers many advantages in a practical application due to high thermal efficiency, high power density, and mild environmental requirement for keeping integrity of turbomachinery blade [2-4]. Due to its compactness and high efficiency, an S-CO₂ cycle is potentially considered as a candidate for marine nuclear propulsion [5].

When using the S-CO₂ cycle as an indirect cycle coupled to the traditional PWR concept instead of steam Rankine cycle, operating pressure of each system is an important parameter that should be determined with regard to operational and safety issues. Thermodynamically, higher pressure in the S-CO₂ cycle leads to higher efficiency. However, in a case of leakage in the intermediate heat exchanger (IHX), the situation where the pressure on the S-CO₂ cycle is higher than the pressure on the primary side's water could be inappropriate considering conventional safety system and operating procedure.

Therefore, it is necessary to understand the phenomenon that can occur due to the IHX failure when adopting high pressure $S-CO_2$ cycle. Based on this aspect, it is desirable to devise a proper concept of safety system if needed. In this paper, the facility that are designed for simulating the leakage of supercritical CO_2 into high pressure water due to failure of IHX is presented.

2. Description of the facility

In this section, a description about the situation that the facility are trying to simulate is introduced firstly. Then, design specifications of the experimental facility and experimental measurement parameters of interest are also described.

2.1 Description of the phenomenon

The phenomena depends on the amount of water and CO₂. The type of heat exchanger for IHX should be first considered. Among many types of heat exchanger, printed circuit heat exchanger (PCHE) is generally used for S-CO₂ power cycle but in-service inspection is very challenging. Instead of PCHE, micro shell and tube heat exchanger (MSTE) is also a good option for S-CO₂ - power cycle for IHX. For MSTE, the problem related to in-service inspection can be resolved easily even though it has an issue related to scalability [6]. Schematics of leakage situations for both heat exchangers is shown in Fig. 1. As mentioned in the introduction, if the IHX fails, high pressure S-CO₂ on the secondary side (20MPa) leaks to the relatively low pressure (15MPa) water on the primary side. The case of MSTE could be thought approximately as a situation that CO₂ releases into the pool of water. Thus, it is easy to mimic using two tanks shown in Fig. 2.



Fig. 1. Schematic of leakage situations for PCHE (left) and MSTE (right).

As shown in Fig. 2, the main process of reaction between water and supercritical CO_2 is as follows. Leaked supercritical CO_2 is dissolved into the water and a little of the aqueous CO_2 reacts with water to form carbonic species. If the water does not dissolve the leaked CO_2 anymore, the system pressure increases.



Fig. 2. Schematic of leakage process.

2.2 Design specification of the experimental facility

Initially, the facility was constructed to validate the S-CO₂ critical flow model [7]. The facility was modified to

simulate the leakage of CO_2 into water as shown in Fig. 2. Fig. 3 shows the designed experimental facility for the simulation. The detailed design specifications are shown in Table I.

The content of the experiment is that CO_2 flows from high-pressure tank (CO_2 , Left tank) to low-pressure tank (Water, Right tank) through a nozzle. The water in the low-pressure tank is pressurized by injecting N₂. Pressure and temperature of each position are measured for every second. For measurements, total nine RTDs (Resistance Temperature Detectors) and six pressure gauges are installed on the critical flow facility as shown in Fig. 3.



Fig. 3. Schematic (Top) and Photograph (Bottom) of the experimental facility.

	Design parameter	
High/Low pressure tank	Pressure (MPa)	22
	Temperature (°C)	150
	Volume (L)	47 (I.D : 200 mm, H : 1600 mm)
Pipe connecting two pressure	Internal diameter (mm)	57
tanks	Length (mm)	1090
High pressure tank heater (jacket-type)	Electric capacity (kW)	5
Low pressure tank heater (jacket-type)	Electric capacity (kW)	12
Valve type	Ball valve	

Table I: Design specifications of the experimental facility.

2.3 Experimental measurement parameters

In this experiment, a mass flow rate of $S-CO_2$ and pressure behavior in the water system are objects to be identified.

The mass flow rate of leakage can be calculated using the measured pressure and temperature data of the high pressure tank.

$$\dot{m} = \frac{V_{tank}(\rho_{t+\Delta t} - \rho_t)}{\Delta t} \tag{1}$$

 \dot{m} : mass flow rate of $S - CO_2$ ρ : density calculated using measured P, T Δt : measurement time interval V_{tank} : high pressure tank volume

Pressure behavior in the water system is related to dissolution rate and solubility. These are related but they are different concept. Solubility is an endpoint representing dissolution capacity at equilibrium state and dissolution rate is a kinetic process [8]. Especially, dissolution rate depends on the interaction area between the fluids and the area is also related to various things such as properties, flow rate, flow model, rupture area and so on. Thus, the goal is to find out which of the following assumptions are suitable through the experiment.

- Dissolution of S-CO₂ is a much slower or faster process than the leakage of S-CO₂ into water.
- 2. Apparent molar volume change due to the dissolution is negligible or not.

The second assumption could be predicted approximately without the experiment because dissolution rate and solubility of CO2 in water have been studied by many prior researchers in various fields. The solubility of S-CO₂ into water at P and T similar to the conditions of IHX has been measured and predictive models are also developed for various solutions. Thermodynamically, CO₂ solubility in aqueous solutions is determined from the balance between its chemical potential in the liquid phase $(\mu_{CO_2}^L)$ and that in the gas phase $(\mu_{CO_2}^V)$ [9, 10].

$$\mu_{CO_2}^V(T, P, y) = \mu_{CO_2}^{V(0)}(T) + RT \ln f_{CO_2}(T, P, y)$$
(2)

$$\mu_{CO_2}^L(T, P, m) = \mu_{CO_2}^{L(0)}(T, P) + RT \ln a_{CO_2}(T, P, m)$$
(3)

Where

µ : chemical potential f : fugacity a : activity

Among the developed predictive models, the model of Duan and Sun (273-533 K, 0-2000 bar) [10] is widely used and applicable to the facility condition range. The model is based on an assumption that the fugacity coefficient of CO_2 in the vapor phase of CO_2 -H₂O mixture is very similar to that in pure CO_2 . If the fugacity coefficient becomes very different at the condition of interest, S. Mao et al (273.15-723.15 K, 1-1500 bar) presented the model that could be applied to higher temperature conditions [11]. The calculated solubility of CO_2 at the facility condition using the model of Duan and Sun is presented in Fig. 4.

The mixture volume of dissolved CO₂ and water could be represented using apparent molar volume (V_{ϕ,CO_2}).

$$V_{solution} = V_{H_2O} n_{H_2O} + V_{\emptyset, CO_2} n_{CO_2}$$
(4)

Apparent molar volume can be calculated using the model proposed by Hu, Q et al (up to 573.15 K, 120MPa) [12]. The calculated apparent molar volume at the facility test condition is also presented in Fig. 5. Based on the above models, the authors compared the apparent volume of dissolved CO_2 and the volume of 1kg pure water as shown Fig. 6. This result shows that if the solution reaches equilibrium, the solution volume increases about 4% at the evaluated conditions.

2.4 Experimental measurement result of preliminary test

Initial results are presented in Fig. 7 and 8. Fig. 7 is the measured pressure behavior of the tanks and Fig. 8 shows the measured mass flow rate of S-CO₂ leakage without any filter. Table II shows the initial conditions of the test before the opening of the valve.





Fig. 5. Molar volume ratio between water and CO₂



Fig. 6. Volume ratio between pure water and dissolved CO₂

Table II: Initial conditions of the test.

	Parameter	
High pressure tank	Pressure (MPa)	10.60 ±0.003
	Temperature (°C)	80.4 ±0.31
Low pressure tank	Pressure (MPa)	3.18 ±0.001
	Temperature (°C)	65.5 ± 0.28
	Mass of N ₂ (kg)	0.324 ± 0.01450
	Mass of water (kg)	36.933 ± 0.4889







Fig. 8. Mass flow rate of S-CO₂ leakage

3. Conclusions and Further works

An experimental facility that could simulate the leakage of supercritical CO_2 into high pressure water in IHX such as MSTE is being set up and introduced in the paper. The pressure behavior and leakage flow are set as the object of measurement. In particular, apparent molar volume change due to the dissolution is assumed for estimation using the models proposed by prior researchers. It is concluded that this volume change could be negligible if the dissolution process is much slower than the leakage or the total mass of the water system is much larger than the mass of leakage flow.

The experiment will be conducted to identify the phenomena and some additional research results will be presented at the conference.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This research was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Science, ICT & Future Planning (NRF2016R1A5A1013919).

REFERENCES

[1] Edward F Gritzen, Introduction to Naval Engineering: Naval Institute Press, 1980.

[2] E. G. Feher, "The supercritical thermodynamic power cycle," Energy Conversion, vol. 8, pp. 85-90,1968.

[3] V. Dostal, M.J. Driscoll, P. Hejzlar, "A supercritical carbon dioxide cycle for next generation nuclear reactors," USA: Massachusetts Institute of Technology, vol. MIT-ANP-TR-100, 2004.

[4] Yoonhan Ahn, Seong Jun Bae, Minseok Kim, Seong Kuk Cho, Seungjoon Baik, Jeong Ik Lee, et al., "Review of supercritical CO2 power cycle technology and current status of research and development," Nuclear Engineering and Technology, vol. 47, pp. 647-661,2015.

[5] B.S. Oh, J.I. Lee., "An Evaluation of Two Phase CO_2 homogeneous equilibrium model for Maritime Propulsion Reactor Transient Analysis", Transactions of the Korean Nuclear Society Autumn Meeting, Goyang, October 24-25, 2019, Korea.

[6] Kwon, J.S., Son, S., Heo, J.Y., Lee, J.I. "Compact heat exchangers for supercritical CO 2 power cycle application", Energy Convers. Manag. 209, 112666. 2020

[7] Kim, M.S., Bae, S.J., Son, S., Oh, B.S., Lee, J.I., "Study of critical flow for supercritical CO₂ seal", International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer. vol. 138, pp. 85–95, 2019.

[8] Smith, B.T., Remington Education: Physical Pharmacy, Pharmaceutical Press, pp. 31–50, 2016.

[9] Denbigh, K., The Principles Of Chemical Equilibrium, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1971.

[10] Duan, Z., Sun, R., "An improved model calculating CO₂ solubility in pure water and aqueous NaCl solutions from 273 to 533 K and from 0 to 2000 bar". Chem. Geol. 193, 257–271, 2003.

[11] Mao, S., Zhang, D., Li, Y., Liu, N., "An improved model for calculating CO₂ solubility in aqueous NaCl solutions and the application to CO₂-H₂O-NaCl fluid inclusions". Chem. Geol. 347, 43–58. 2013.

[12] Hu, Q., Guo, H., Lü, X., Lu, W., Chen, Y., Zhu, Y., Geng, L., "Determination of P-V-T-x properties of the CO₂-H₂O system up to 573.15 K and 120 MPa-Experiments and model". Chem. Geol. 424, 60–72, 2016.