# Possibility of Disposal for Spent Nuclear Fuel Reprocessing in the Aspect of the Radiological Risk of Human Intrusion

Hye Won Shin<sup>a</sup>, Hyeong Jin Byeon<sup>a</sup>, Ki Won Kang<sup>a</sup>, Yu Lim Lee<sup>a</sup>, Jae Yeong Park<sup>a\*</sup>, Il Soon Hwang<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Nuclear Engineering, Ulsan National Institute of Science and Technology, 50 UNIST-gill, Eonyang-

eup, Ulju-gun, Ulsan, 44919, Republic of Korea

Corresponding author: jypark@unist.ac.kr

## 1. Introduction

Scenarios after the closure of disposal facilities are classified mainly into situations due to natural phenomena and human intrusion by the International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP). Scenarios by natural phenomena are divided into normal scenarios that occur when the components of the disposal facility perform safety functions as designed and abnormal scenarios caused by natural phenomena such as earthquakes and floods. Scenario by human intrusion is caused by actions such as drilling by humans who do not know the existence of disposal facilities after the institutional control period (ICP). An inadvertent human intrusion would be the most critical factor to limit the radioactivity concentration of the repository because it is difficult to predict the future human behavior patterns and uncertainties. Therefore, the inadvertent intrusion should be considered when establishing decontamination factor (DF) targets for spent nuclear fuel reprocessing. In this paper, DFs of actinides and Sr/Cs in the spent nuclear fuels of the marine reactor, designed by the Ulsan National Institute of Science and Technology, are derived so that the radiological consequences of the inadvertent human intrusion into the waste repository are below the domestic and international criteria. Then, spent nuclear fuel (SNF) reprocessing processes, which have been operated or are under development, are investigated to confirm the feasibility of achieving the derived DFs for the marine reactor.

## 2. Methods and Results

In this section, the method used to calculate the dose rate of the SNF of the marine nuclear reactor, and the results are described.

#### 2.1 Dose Calculation Method

The radiation doses of the inadvertent human intrusion for the final waste repository are calculated based on the report of Posiva [1]. The total dose rate received by the drilling worker or geologist is evaluated by considering external irradiation from the contaminated excavated sample, inadvertent ingestion, and dust inhalation. The shielding effect is not considered. The equations used for the external, ingestion and inhalation dose are as follows:

$$D_{ext} = 1.4 \cdot 10^{-13} \cdot f_1 \cdot f_2 \cdot \frac{1}{x^2} \cdot \rho \cdot V \cdot t_{exp} \cdot \sum_i (E_i \cdot S_i) \quad (1)$$

$$D_{ing} = t_{exp} \cdot m \cdot \sum_i (I_{ing,i} \cdot S_i), \text{ and}$$
 (2)

$$D_{inh} = t_{exp} \cdot R \cdot d \cdot \sum_{i} (I_{inh,i} \cdot S_i).$$
(3)

where  $D_{ext}$  is effective dose equivalent from external irradiation,  $D_{ing}$  is effective dose equivalent from ingestion,  $D_{inh}$  is effective dose equivalent from inhalation,  $f_1$  is conversion factor from exposure to effective dose equivalent,  $f_2$  is Self-shielding factor, xis distance from source,  $\rho$  is density of sample, V is volume of sample,  $t_{exp}$  is exposure time,  $E_i$  is mean gamma energy per disintegration,  $S_i$  is average activity concentration of radionuclide i in the sample, m is intake by ingestion,  $I_{ing,i}$  is dose per unit intake by ingestion of each radionuclide i,  $I_{inh,i}$  is dose per unit intake by inhalation of each radionuclide i, R is respiration rate, and d is air dust concentration.

The variables in equations depend on the drilling method and they were obtained from the Posiva's report with reference to the diamond core drilling. The exposure time and distance from the excavated sample are assumed to be 1 hour and 1 meter. The volume of the excavated sample is  $0.02 m^3$ .

The SNF inventory of the long-life small modular lead cooled fast reactor (LFR) for the naval propulsion with metal fuel included 10% zirconium (U-10%Zr) and oxide fuel (UO<sub>2</sub>), which is calculated by Monte Carlo simulation, is utilized. The waste glass form is assumed as the final waste form with the conventional acceptable waste loading of 10 - 20 wt.% [2]

#### 2.2 Dose for the Inadvertent Human Intrusion

The IAEA's specific safety requirements of No. SSR-5 (Disposal of Radioactive Waste) states that the dose limit for the public from all planned exposure situations is an effective dose of 1 mSv in a year. If the reasonable efforts are warranted at the stage of development of the facility to reduce the probability of intrusion, it could be available to set the dose limit as annual doses in the range 1-20 mSv [3]. Therefore, the dose criteria of this paper for the inadvertent human intrusion are set to 1 and 20 mSv.

The external, ingestion and inhalation doses from the excavated sample from U-10%Zr and UO<sub>2</sub> fuel are represented in Fig. 1 and Fig. 2 respectively. Both of the fuels have almost the same patterns as time passes. The total dose of both fuels lies over  $10^4$  mSv even after 100,000 years, and the most dominant factor is the inhalation. The external dose meets the 1 mSv criterion around 400 years and ingestion meets the criteria

around 30,000 years. The institutional control periods specified in the notice of NSSC of Korea is 300 years. The reprocessing is necessary to satisfy the ICP standard.

For the inhalation, TRUs such as Am-241, Pu-238, Pu-239, and Pu-240 take the largest portion for overall periods, and the doses of Sr-90 and Cs-137 are considerable for up to 500 years. For the ingestion, the doses from Sr-90, Cs-137, and Pu-239 contribute the largest dose portion up to 500 years, and after 500 years, TRUs such as Am-241, Pu-238, Pu-239, and Pu-240 take the greatest portion. For the external irradiation, the doses from Eu-152, Eu-154, and Y-90 are dominant up to 500 years.



Fig. 1. Dose for the inadvertent human intrusion into the U-10%Zr SNF repository.



Fig. 2. Dose for the inadvertent human intrusion into the  $UO_2$  SNF repository.

For the partitioning elements, U/TRU and Sr/Cs are considered since their radiological effects are the most principal in the long-term (after 500 years) and short-term respectively. Others are assumed as remained in the final waste.

# 2.3 Target Decontamination Factors

The required DFs of U/TRU and Sr/Cs to meet 1 and 20 mSv for each fuel are calculated according to the ICP and the waste loading ratio of the final waste as

shown in Table I and Table II. The DFs for U/TRU and Sr/Cs are set not to exceed 100,000 and 300 by considering practically achievable DFs of the conventional reprocessing processes. The Sr/Cs decontamination coefficient is set to as small as possible.

Table I: Required decontamination factor of U/TRU and Sr/Cs according to the dose criteria for the inadvertent human intrusion into the spent nuclear fuel reprocessing final waste repository for U-10%Zr fuel

Dose criteria (mSv)		1		20	
Waste loading (wt.%)		10	20	10	20
Required DF by	U/TRU	50,000	Not	400	800
ICP 300 years	Sr/Cs	300	feasible <sup>1</sup>	5	10
Required DF by	U/TRU	10,000	40,000	200	400
ICP 500 years	Sr/Cs	50	100	5	10
Required DF by	U/TRU	2,500	5,000	125	250
ICP 1,000 years	Sr/Cs	1	1	1	1

1: Dose for the inadvertent human intrusion dose not reached in 300 years when dose criterion is 1 mSv.

Table II: Required decontamination factor of U/TRU and Sr/Cs according to the dose criteria for the inadvertent human intrusion into the spent nuclear fuel reprocessing final waste repository for  $UO_2$  fuel

Dose criteria (mSv)		1		20	
Waste loading (wt.%)		10	20	10	20
Required DF by	U/TRU	25,000	Not	400	800
ICP 300 years	Sr/Cs	300	feasible <sup>1</sup>	5	10
Required DF by	U/TRU	8,000	30,000	200	600
ICP 500 years	2 500 ears Sr/Cs 50 100	5	5		
Required DF by	Required DF by U/TRU 2,500	5,000	150	250	
ICP 1,000 years	Sr/Cs	1	1	1	1

1: Dose for the inadvertent human intrusion dose not reached in 300 years when dose criterion is 1 mSv.

Generally, the U-10%Zr fuel needs a slightly higher DF. If actinide elements are removed from the SNF with DF of greater than 500, the dose of the ingestion becomes more significant than that of the inhalation for the first 500 years, and the dose targets cannot be

satisfied without separation of Sr/Cs. Even though all the actinides and Sr/Cs nuclides are eliminated, the final waste loaded at 20 wt.% cannot satisfy the 1 mSv and 300 years ICP due to Sm-151. In the case of 10 wt.% loaded waste, it can satisfy both dose limit and ICP standard.

# 2.4 Decontamination Factors of U/TRU and Sr/Cs of the Reprocessing Processes

DFs of the conventional SNF reprocessing processes and the required ICP for each process are summarized in Table III and Table IV respectively [4, 5]. Reprocessing of SNF is categorized into aqueous process and pyroprocessing.

Aqueous process is a method of dissolving spent nuclear fuel into a nitric acid solution and separating the desired nuclides using organic solvents or sedimentation agents. Four aqueous processes of PUREX, UREX+3, EURO-GANEX and 4-Group (Japan) are investigated in this study. PUREX, which is the most typical process, aims to recover only Pu and U from the spent nuclear fuel. In comparison to PUREX, UREX+3 enhances the off-gas treatment to recover I-129, H-3, and Kr-85. Also, it modifies and extends the chemical separations processes. The EURO-GANEX process was developed as part of the European Union Actinide Recycling by Separation and Transmutation and Safety of Actinide Separation processed programs. It aims to co-separate all transuranic elements from SNF without the extraction of pure Pu. The 4-Group process was developed in the Japan Atomic Energy Research Institute and for concentrated high-level liquid waste (HLLW) to separate the elements into four groups: TRU, Tc - platinum group metals (PGM), Sr/Cs and the other elements. From various experiments, the decontamination effect of 4-Group process is from the Rokkasho demonstrated. The wastes reprocessing plant can be disposed of at the low-level waste site based on radioactivity.

The pyroprocessing uses molten salt or liquid metal, not water or organic solvents and consists of electric refining, distillation, and solvent-solvent extraction. The pyroprocessing developed by Korea Atomic Energy Research Institute (KAERI) is composed of the seven processes; fuel-element chopping and decladding, hightemperature voloxidation, electrolytic reduction, electrorefining, electrowinning, salt purification and fuel fabrication. PyroGreen has been designed to convert all SNFs into low and intermediate level waste so it adopts additional processes based on the KAERI's pyroprocessing to reduce TRUs in the final wastes: a hull electrorefining, an additional actinide recovery process and Tc/I target fabrication. Through the additional processes, the DFs of the PyroGreen process is enhanced.

By adopting an additional process of removing Sr/Cs slightly, PUREX can satisfy the 20 mSv criterion within ICP of 300 years. EURO-GANEX is equivalent to

PUREX but its ICP for 1 mSv criterion is 500 years unlike PUREX which is impossible within 1,000 years. Using UREX 3+ or 4-Group it is possible to meet 300 years at 20 mSv. UREX+3 needs 500 years ICP and 4-Group needs 300 years with waste loading of 10 wt.%. If the Pyroprocess of KAERI is applied, more than 10,000 years is necessary to satisfy the 1 mSv but the ICP is 300 years when the criterion is 20 mSv. PyroGreen can fulfill both dose criteria depending on the waste loading ratio.

Table III: Decontamination factors of U/TRU and Sr/Cs of reprocessing processes

Туре		DF			
		U/TRU	Cs	Sr	
Aqueous process	PUREX	>1,000		NI/A	
	(1995+)	Pu	IN/A	IN/A	
	UREX+3	30,000	100	100	
	EURO-	10.000	N/A	N/A	
	GANEX	10,000			
	4-Group	50.000	10.000	10.000	
	(Japan)	30,000	10,000	10,000	
Pyro- process	Pyroprocessing	1 000	50	100	
	(KAERI)	1,000	50	100	
	PyroGreen	50,000	20,000	200	

Table IV: Required institutional control periods to satisfy 1 mSy and 20 mSy for the inadvertent human intrusion scenario

mb v and zo mb v for the mad vertent number intrusion sectority					
	Required ICP of		Required ICP of		
Туре	U-10%Zr (y)		$UO_{2}(y)$		
	1 mSv	20 mSv	1 mSv	20 mSv	
PUREX	Not	Within	Not	Within	
(1995+)	feasible <sup>1</sup>	300	feasible <sup>1</sup>	300	
UREX+3	500 ~	Within	500 ~	Within	
	1,000	300	1,000	300	
EURO-	500 ~	Within	500 ~	Within	
GANEX	1,000	300	1,000	300	
4-Group	300 ~	Within	300 ~	Within	
(Japan)	500	300	500	300	
Pyro-	Not	Within	Not	Within	
processing	NOL	vv Iuiiii	NOL	vv Iuiiii	
(KAERI)	feasible	300	feasible	300	
PyroGreen	300 ~	Within	300 ~	Within	
	500	300	500	300	

1: Dose for the inadvertent human intrusion dose not reached in 1,000 years.

## 3. Conclusions

Decontamination factors of U/TRU and Sr/Cs for U-10%Zr and UO<sub>2</sub> SNF are derived from the inadvertent human intrusion analysis according to the institutional control periods by comparing the IAEA's dose criteria of 1 and 20 mSv. The dose of 1 mSv would be achievable within 300 years only in the case of 10 wt.% loading by the processes of PyroGreen and 4-GROUP. For the dose limit of 20 mSv, DFs for U/TRU of the orders of 100 and DF for Sr/Cs of about 10 are required to satisfy the ICP of 300 years. The DFs would be achievable by all the surveyed aqueous processes and pyroprocessing. Therefore, the SNFs of both U-10%Zr and UO<sub>2</sub> can be disposed of safely by applying the conventional or under development reprocessing processes in the aspect of the inadvertent human intrusion into the final repository.

# REFERENCES

[1] S.M. Smith, et al., Human Intruder Dose Assessment for Deep Geological Disposal, Posiva, Finland, 2013.

[2] National Research Council, Waste Forms Technology and Performance: Final Report, The National Academies Press, Washington., 2011

[3] IAEA, Disposal of Radioactive Waste, IAEA, Vienna, 2011.

[4] NEA, Spent Nuclear Fuel Reprocessing Flowsheet, OECD, Paris, 2012.

[5] R. Taylor, et al., The EURO-GANEX process: current status of flowsheet development and process safety studies, Procedia Chem., Vol.21, pp. 524-529, 2016.