Validation of Evaporation and Condensation Models in the Analysis Code for Reactor **Building and Pool Cooling of Research Reactors**

Dongwook Jang^{a*}, Youn-Gyu Jung^a, Cheol Park^a, Suki Park^a ^aResearch reactor design division, Korea Atomic Energy Research Institute, Daejeon, Korea *Corresponding author: dwjang@kaeri.re.kr

1. Introduction

Evaluation of the cooling performance of the reactor building and pool during long term cooling in research reactors is needed since the radiological dose limits at the site boundaries are expected to be strengthened. Since the existing containment analysis code is compliant with the conditions of the nuclear power plant, a code that can be applied to the conditions of research reactor has been developed

The purpose of this paper is to validate the pool evaporation and wall condensation models implemented in the developed code.

2. Validation of Pool Evaporation

2.1 Database of Evaporation Experiment

Since the research reactor pool serves as a final heat sink, the temperature rises slowly and evaporation rate is very low. This phenomena is quite difference from the suppression pool in the nuclear power plant.

On the other hand, in the field of HVAC and civil engineering, a number of evaporation research have been carried out for the design of indoor swimming pool or cooling water pool in a power plant. The experiments performed in these studies are very similar to the phenomena for research reactor pool. Therefore, five experiments [1-5] in these field were selected as validation data for evaporation. The detailed condition of experiments are shown in Table 1. A total of 210 data were obtained from these experiments and they are shown in Fig. 1 as evaporation rate according to vapor pressure difference.

Table 1	Experime	ntal Cond	ition of E	vaporation

Exp. name	Water temp.	Air temp.	Relative Humidity	Wind speed	# of data
Asdrubali [1]	20~30°C	22~32°C	50~70%	0m/s	30
Tibor[2]	19~70°C	19~50°C	20~60%	0~2.1 m/s	30
Boelter[3]	24~94°C	17~26°C	59~98%	Om/s	72
Jobat[4]	20~55°C	-	-	0.05~5m/s	42
Juan[5]	24~28°C	26~30°C	33~71%	0.08~0.55m/s	36



Fig. 1 Database of Evaporation Experiment

2.2 Validation Calculation

The evaporation prediction in the research reactor pool should be approached differently depending on the physical parameters related to the research reactor design. In terms of pool and core temperature, it is conservative approach to under-predict evaporation. But in terms of reactor building integrity and pool inventory, the over prediction is conservative. In order to meet this circumstance, four evaporation models were inserted in the developed code so that the user could use it according to the needs.

Two models (Eq. (1), (2)) are based on heat and mass transfer analogy and others (Eq. (3), (4)) are based on experiment using Dalton's equation.

$$\dot{m}_{evp} = K_{evp} A_p \frac{(W_{ip,v} - W_{b,v})}{1 - W_{ip,v}}$$
(1)

$$\dot{n}_{evp} = 35(\rho_{ip} - \rho_b)^{\frac{1}{3}} (W_{ip,v} - W_{b,v})$$
(2)

$$q_{evp} = (6.9 + 0.49v^2)(e_s - e_a) \tag{3}$$

$$q_{evp} = (0.0887 + 0.07815v)(e_s - e_a) \tag{4}$$

Fig. 2 shows the comparison between the experimental data and the models implemented in the code. In the low evaporation region, all four models showed relatively accurate prediction performance. In the high evaporation region, heat and mass transfer analogy models tended to predict slightly higher, but generally show a similar trend to experimental results. In contrast, Dalton based correlations tended to predict lower than experimental results in overall region. Therefore, if the user uses the above option properly, it is confirmed that conservative

1

approach is possible depending on the parameters of pool water temperature, pool inventory, and reactor building pressure.



3. Validation of Wall Condensation

3.1 Database of Condensation Experiment

Since the condensation on the containment wall is also critical issue in nuclear power plants, a number of research have been carried out. From the paper survey on wall condensation, eight condensation papers [6-13] were selected for validation calculation. The experimental conditions of these papers are shown in Table 2. The total numbers of 494 data were obtained from these experiments and they are shown in Fig. 3.

Table 2 Experimental Condition of Condensation	Table 2 Ex	perimental	Condition	of	Condensation
--	------------	------------	-----------	----	--------------

Evn nomo	Droccurro	Wall	Air mass	# of
Exp. name	Flessule	subcooling	fraction	data
Anderson	1~3bar	10~50K	0.37~0.88	72
Uchida	-	-	0.23~0.91	22
Su	2~6bar	13~69K	0.07~0.6	131
Lee	2~5bar	5~70K	0.1~0.8	43
Kataoka	1~1.5bar	-	0.5~0.9	37
Dehbi	1.5~4.5bar	9~47K	0.25~0.9	108
Liu	2.5~4.5bar	3~27K	0.18~0.71	26
Fan	2~5 bar	13~66K	0.2~0.7	146



Fig. 3 Database of Condensation Experiment

3.2 Validation Calculation

Two condensation prediction models are inserted in the developed code. The first one is heat and mass transfer analogy (HMTA) model (Eq. (5)), the second one is Uchida model (Eq. (6)).

$$\dot{n}_{cond} = \frac{\ln(1+B)}{B} \cdot h_m \frac{W_{b,\nu} - W_{iw,\nu}}{1 - W_{iw,\nu}} \tag{5}$$

$$h_{cond} = 380 \left(\frac{W_s}{1 - W_s}\right)^{0.7} \tag{6}$$

Validation calculation results of entire region are shown in Fig. 4. The result depicted that both models predicted the condensation heat flux quite well in low condensation heat transfer region. However, in the high condensation heat flux region, both models tended to predict lower than the experimental results. Especially in this region, the Uchida model showed large error.



Fig. 5 shows only the comparison of the prediction and experimental data similar to the research reactor conditions in Fig.4. In this region, the HMTA model has good prediction performance because most of the calculation errors are within $\pm 30\%$. However, Uchida model showed the same tendency to predict lower than the experimental data. In particular, the errors are dramatically increased in the flat part of the graph, which is the data Obtained from different wall subcooling with other experimental variables fixed. This is because the Uchida model does not have a term to consider the effect of wall subcooling. All the take together, it is appropriate to use the HMTA model when an accurate prediction is needed. However, the Uchida model is recommended when a conservative approach is needed.



4. Conclusions

In order to validate the analysis code for reactor building and pool cooling in research reactors, a series of comparisons between the models for evaporation and condensation and the experimental data has been carried out. From this study, it is concluded that:

- a) The heat and mass transfer analogy model predicts the evaporation and condensation very accurately.
- b) The Dalton model and the Uchida model based on the experiments predicts the evaporation and condensation lower than the experimental data.
- c) The various evaporation and condensation models in the developed code can be used by user's selection for conservative analysis.

ACKNOWELGEMENTS

This work was supported by the Korea government (MSIT: Ministry of Science and ICT).

REFERENCES

[1] L. Boelter et al. "Free evaporation into air of water from a free horizontal quiet surface," Industrial & Engineering Chemistry, vol. 38, no. 6, pp. 596-600, 1946.

[2] F. Asdrubali, "A scale model to evaluate water evaporation from indoor swimming pools," Energy and Buildings, vol. 41, no. 3, pp. 311-319, 2009.

[3] T. Poós and E. Varju, "Dimensionless Evaporation Rate from Free Water Surface at Tubular Artificial Flow," Energy Procedia, vol. 112, pp. 366-373, 2017.

[4] A. Jodat, M. Moghiman, and M. Anbarsooz, "Experimental comparison of the ability of Dalton based and similarity theory correlations to predict water evaporation rate in different convection regimes," Heat and Mass Transfer, journal article vol. 48, no. 8, pp. 1397-1406, August 01 2012.

[5] J. L. Foncubierta Blázquez, I. R. Maestre, F. J. González Gallero, and P. Álvarez Gómez, "Experimental test for the estimation of the evaporation rate in indoor swimming pools: Validation of a new CFD-based simulation methodology," Building and Environment, vol. 138, pp. 293-299, 2018.

[6] M. H. Anderson, L. E. Herranz, and M. L. Corradini, "Experimental analysis of heat transfer within the AP600 containment under postulated accident conditions," Nuclear Engineering and Design, vol. 185, no. 2, pp. 153-172, 1998.

[7] H. Uchida, A. Oyama, and Y. Togo, "Evaluation of postincident cooling systems of light water power reactors," Tokyo Univ., 1964

[8] J. Su, Z. Sun, M. Ding, and G. Fan, "Analysis of experiments for the effect of noncondensable gases on steam condensation over a vertical tube external surface under low wall subcooling," Nuclear Engineering and Design, vol. 278, pp. 644-650, 2014.

[9] Y.-G. Lee, Y.-J. Jang, and D.-J. Choi, "An experimental study of air–steam condensation on the exterior surface of a vertical tube under natural convection conditions," International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer, vol. 104, pp. 1034-1047, 2017.

[10] Y. Kataoka, T. Fukui, S. Hatamiya, T. Nakao, M. Naitoh, and I. Sumida, "Experiments on convection heat transfer along a vertical flat plate between pools with different temperatures," Nuclear technology, vol. 99,

[11] A. A. Dehbi, "The effects of noncondensable gases on steam condensation under turbulent natural convection conditions," Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 1991

[12] H. Liu, N. Todreas, and M. Driscoll, "An experimental investigation of a passive cooling unit for nuclear plant containment," Nuclear Engineering and Design, vol. 199, no. 3, pp. 243-255, 2000

[13] G. Fan, P. Tong, Z. Sun, and Y. Chen, "Development of a new empirical correlation for steam condensation rates in the presence of air outside vertical smooth tube," Annals of Nuclear Energy, vol. 113, pp. 139-146, 2018.