An approximation method of determining initial core design

parameters with a given energy requirement

Alexandru Catalin Stafie, Jinman Kim, Bumhee Jo, Chang Joo Hah KEPCO International Nuclear Graduate School, Nuclear Power Plant Engineering Department



Transactions of the Korean Nuclear Society Spring Meeting - Jeju, Korea, May 23-24, 2019

Contents

1. Introduction

2. Input model

3. Methodology

4. Results and verification

5. Conclusions







1.1 Purpose of work

 Creating a practical tool i.e. a graphical method to reduce the time of determining chosen target parameters for the initial reactor loading pattern.

Target parameters:

- Average core enrichment
- Total number of BA rods in core
- Constraints:
 - Required cycle length
 - Critical boron concentration at BOC





1.2 Background of study







1.3 Fuel Management Net Graph (FMNG)







2. Input model

APR1400 reactor model (Shin-Kori Unit 3)

Thermal Hydraulic Data	Quantity
Thermal Power (MWt)	3983
Operating Pressure (bar)	155.13
Design Pressure (bar)	155.13
Moderator Temperature (K / °F)	582.05 / 588.02
Cold leg Temperature (K / °F)	563.75 / 555.08
Hot Leg Temperature (K / °F)	600.35 / 620.96
Nominal Design Flow ([kg/m ² -sec)	3480



000000000000000 \odot ŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎŎ 0000 \bigcirc 0000000000 \bigcirc \bigcirc ÕÕ $\bigcirc \bigcirc$ \odot 00

16×16 Fuel Assembly (PLUS7)

Nuclear Data	Quantity
No. of Fuel Rod	236
Burnable Absorber	Gadolinia
Clad Inner Diameter (cm)	0.836
Clad Outer Diameter (cm)	0.950
Grid density [g/cm ³]	6.52
Grid Nuclide ID and w/o	Zircaloy-4, 100%
Clad Density [g/cm ³]	5.81
Clad Nuclide ID and w/o	Zircaloy-4, 100%
Fuel Rod array square	16×16
Fuel Pellet Diameter (cm)	0.819
Fuel rod pitch (cm)	1.285
Fuel Stack Density [g/cm ³]	10.313
Gd Rod Stack Density [g/cm ³]	10.060
Fuel Assembly Pitch (cm)	20.777
Power density [W/gU]	38.25





3. Methodology







3.1 Fuel assembly modeling



Four parameters are taken into consideration in constructing the FMNG:

- Enr. Average UO₂ enrichment;
- **Gd#** Average number of gadolinia rods;
- **CBC** Critical boron concentration at BOC;
- BU Cycle length.

Input for fuel assembly population generation:

- \bullet UO₂ enrichment range (1.5% \rightarrow 4.5%)
- No. of BA rods condition: multiple of 4 (0 → 20 rods)
- The BA rods position is fixed
- Gadolinia **w/o = 8%**

This data will be used as plot points in the FMNG.







3.1 Fuel assembly modeling(continued)



*Fig1, Fig.2 - Reference example for one fuel assembly





3.2 Graph Design







3.2 Graph Design

• 3D simulation datapoints are placed on the draft FMNG







3.2 Graph Design

- 3D simulation datapoints are placed on the draft FMNG
- Accuracy is poor; why ?







3.2 Graph Design(continued)

- Consideration
 - Leakage must be taken into account (apply a correction). 2D Cell code simulates within an infinite boundary medium.
 - Precise average enrichment and average number of BA rods must be recalculated for each FA considering axial zoning.



www.casl.gov





3.2 Graph Design(continued)

• Leakage correction factor (k_{inf}^{cf})



- Multiple loading pattern search was performed. With same design requirements as SK3, such as PPPF restriction, CBC restriction and approximate cycle length for the given energy requirements.
- The **final leakage correction factor** is determined.







3.2 Graph Design(continued)

Average values for 3D core data

- In a 3D, full core simulation, axial zoning and the different UO₂ enrichment used in the BA rods, significantly affects average core enrichment. In order to accurately plot, the axial blanket and the number of BA rods must be considered.
- The same consideration applies for **the average number of BA rods**. The axial cutback was also taken into consideration.

 $Avg.no.of BA = \frac{Total number of BA rods}{Total no.of assemblies}$

Corrected avg.no. of $BA = Avg.no. of BA \times (1 - \frac{2 \times Axial \ cutback}{Active \ fuel \ height})$



a = 350.52 cm UO₂-Gd₂O₃ Pellets b = 381.00 cm Active Fuel Height c = 15.24 cm Axial Cutback





4 Result and Verification

- Final FMNG
 - Accuracy is greatly improved, leakage is correctly represented.
 - All results are within the verification criteria criteria (± 100 ppm, ± 0.5 GWD/MTU).





5.1 Conclusion

- There is a reliable correlation between the considered average parameters (average core enrichment and average number of BA rods) and resulting loading pattern cycle length and CBC at BOC.
- The **FMNG** is a good because:
 - It provides a accurate estimation for giving cycle length and CBC value at BOC, **before performing a full core simulation;**
 - It is **independent of power distribution** of LP;
 - It saves time at the initial stage of design.





5.2 Further work

 The FMNG will be coupled with a optimization method (Simplex or Simulated annealing) to directly determine fuel batch wise specifications (fuel zoning, BA pattern, no of specific assemblies), in order determine a better LP.







Thank you for your attention !