# Influence of Ce (III) Cation on Structural Property of Uranium Dioxide

Dong Woo Lee <sup>a</sup>, Jeongmook Lee <sup>a</sup>, Jong-Yun Kim <sup>a,b</sup> and Sang Ho Lim <sup>a,b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Nuclear Chemistry Research Division, Korea Atomic Energy Research Institute, 111, Daedeok-daero 989 Beon-gil, Yuseong-gu, Daejeon, 34057, Republic of Korea

<sup>b</sup>Department of Radiochemistry & Nuclear Nonproliferation, University of Science and Technology, Gajeong-ro 217, Yuseong-gu, Daejeon, 34113, Republic of Korea

\*Corresponding author: slim@kaeri.re.kr

## 1. Introduction

Studies of uranium oxides as nuclear fuels have been consistently investigate. For rare earth elements of fission products, there exists a solid solution state within the uranium oxide compounds [1].

There are various effects on the properties of  $UO_2$  depending on the doped content and present in the matrix. Especially for Ce material, a fission product, it is used to study the structural form on uranium oxides because its structural properties are similar to plutonium material [2].

Commonly, Ce cation exists a multivalent type having both charges of oxidation state forms:  $3^+$  and  $4^+$ . In addition, the eight-coordination environment of Ce<sup>3+</sup> cation, the ionic radius is similar to U<sup>4+</sup> cation (Ce<sup>3+</sup>; 1.14Å, U<sup>4+</sup>; 1.0 Å) [3]. Therefore, it is difficult to identify structural changes when present in a solid solution state within UO<sub>2</sub>, but the lattice parameter is reduced due to oxygen vacancy [4-5].

The calculation of lattice parameter includes the whole powder pattern decomposition (Pawley and Le Bail) and the whole powder pattern fitting (Rietveld refinement) [6]. In the Rietveld method, the atomic coordinates are required for the calculations, refinement of profile and structural parameters [7].

In this study, cell parameter calculation methods are used to identify changes in the structural lattice according to the amount of  $Ce^{3+}$  doped in UO<sub>2</sub>.

## 2. Experimental

 $U_{1-x}Ce_xO_{2-\delta}$  (X = 0 to 0.1) phases where synthesized through standard solid-state reactions. Stoichiometric amounts of UO<sub>2</sub> and CeO<sub>2</sub> were ground thoroughly with agate mortars and pestles and pressed into pellets. The pellets in alumina boats were gradually heated to 1700 °C for 18h in hydrogen atmosphere.

The X-ray powder diffraction data were collected on a Bruker D8-Advance diffractometer using Cu K $\alpha$ radiation at room temperature with 40 kV and 40 mA. The 2 $\theta$  range was 20-120° with a step size of 0.02°, and a step time of 2s. The diffraction patterns were analyzed using Pawley, Le Bail and Rietveld method with the TOPAS program [8]. The Structural refinement of the materials was carried out in the space group *Fm-3m* (no.225) with a starting model based on the reported data of UO<sub>2</sub> [9]. The lattice parameters were refined calculation methods, followed in subsequent iterations by the zero point error, unit-cell, peak shape, and temperature parameters. The energy-dispersive analysis by X-ray (EDAX) was carried out using JEOL JSM-6610LV.

EDAX for  $U_{1-x}Ce_xO_{2-\delta}$  (X = 0 to 0.1) reveals U : Ce ratios of approximately 2 : 0, to 1.9 : 0.1, respectively.

### 3. Results and discussion

The structural determination of  $UO_2$  has been extensively published.  $UO_2$  crystallizes in the space group space group *Fm-3m* (no.225). In the structural fluorite-type of  $UO_2$ ,  $U^{4+}$  cation is bonded to eight coordination environment. After synthesizing pure  $UO_2$ , we were able to substitute the  $U^{4+}$  cation for  $Ce^{3+}$  up to x = 0.1 successfully to form  $U_{1-x}Ce_xO_{2-\delta}$  (X = 0 to 0.1).

 $U_{1\text{-x}}Ce_xO_{2\text{-}\delta}~(X=0,$  to 0.1) were not observed any crystallographic ordering between  $U^{4+}$  and  $Ce^{3+}$  cations. In the solid solutions of  $U_{1\text{-x}}Ce_xO_{2\text{-}\delta}$  phases, the  $U^{4+}$  and  $Ce^{3+}$  were statistically disordered over the actinide metal sites. The powder XRD pattern for  $U_{1\text{-x}}Ce_xO_{2\text{-}\delta}$  phases are shown in Fig. 1.



Fig. 1. Powder X-ray diffraction data for  $U_{1-x}Ce_xO_{2-\delta}$  (X = 0, to 0.1).

See the Fig. 1. The diffraction peaks move to the right with increasing amounts of  $Ce^{3+}$  on the  $U^{4+}$  site, although, the larger ionic radius of  $Ce^{3+}$  compared with  $U^{4+}$  in eight coordination environment, which can be attributed to the oxygen vacancy [4-5].



Fig. 2. Calculation by Rietveld refinement of lattice parameters as a function of the Ce occupancy for  $U_{1-x}Ce_xO_{2-\delta}$  (X = 0 to 0.1).

To check the lattice parameters  $U_{1-x}Ce_xO_{2-\delta}$  phases, whole powder pattern decomposition and fitting methods were used. As seen in Fig. 2, the calculation results show that experimental compounds cell parameter slightly decrease with increasing  $Ce^{3+}$  on the  $U^{4+}$  site.

## 4. Conclusions

The pure samples of  $U_{1-x}Ce_xO_{2-\delta}$  phases have been synthesized using standard solid-solution techniques. The structures of the reported products were characterized by powder X-ray, EDAX, and fully analyzed using calculation methods (TOPAS). The substitution of Ce<sup>3+</sup> cations into the UO<sub>2</sub> lattice is confirmed by lattice parameters from XRD patterns. It shows that presence of Ce-oxygen vacancies are decreasingly dominated in the work are applicable to interpret the oxidation behavior of spent nuclear fuel containing various fission products.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korean government (MSIP; No.2012M2A8A5025925).

#### REFERENCES

[1] R.C. Ewing, Long-term storage of spent nuclear fuel, Nature Materials, Vol. 14, p.252, 2015.

[2] T.L. Markin, R.S. Street, E.C. Crouch, The uraniumcerium-oxygen ternary phase diagram, Journal of Inorganic Nuclear Chemistry, Vol. 32, p. 59, 1970.

[3] R. D. Shannon, Revised effective ionic radii and systematic studies of interatomic distances in halides and chalcogenides, Acta Crystallographica section A, Vol. A32, p. 751. 1976.

[4] Y.-K. Ha, J. Lee, J.-G. Kim, J.-Y. Kim, Effect of Ce doping on UO<sub>2</sub> structure and its oxidation behavior, Journal of Nuclear Materials, Vol. 480, p. 429, 2016.

[5] J. M. Elorrieta, L. J. Bonales, S. Fernandea, N. Rodriguez-Villagra, L. Gutierrez-Nebot, V. G. Baonza, J. Cobos, Preand post- oxidation Raman analysis of (U, Ce)O<sub>2</sub> oxides, Journal of Nuclear Materials, Vol. 508, p.116, 2018.

[6] A. L. Bail, Whole powder pattern decomposition methods and applications: A retrospection, Powder Diffraction, Vol. 20, p. 316, 2005.

[7] H. M. Rietveld, A profile refinement method for nuclear and magnetic structures, Journal of Applied Crystallography. Vol. **2**, p. 65, 1969.

[8] A. A. Coelhoa, TOPAS and TOPAS-Academic: an optimization program integrating computer algebra and crystallographic objects written in  $C^{++}$  Journal of Applied Crystallography. Vo. 151, p.210, 2013.

[9] W. A. Lambertson, M. H. Muller, F. H. Gunzer jr., Uranium Oxide Phase Equilibrium Systems: IV, UO<sub>2</sub>-ThO<sub>2</sub>, Journal of the American Ceramic Society, Vol. 36, p.397, 1953.