Numerical Investigation for the Plasma Coal Gasifier of 150kW and 1400kW

Hyeongyeong Choi^{a*}, Jaeseung Suh^a, Taihyeop Lho^b

^aSentech Co., Room 303, Inno Biz Park, Hannam Univ., 1646 Yuseong-daero, Yuseong-gu, Daejeon, Korea

^bNational Fusion Research Institute, 169-148 Gwahak-ro, Yuseong-gu, Daejeon, Korea

*Corresponding author: chk89418@esentech.kr

1. Introduction

Integrated coal gasification combined cycle (IGCC) has gained a lot of interest because they can produce cleaner gaseous fuels such as hydrogen, carbon monoxide and methane. Therefore, the National Fusion Research Institute (NFRI) plant has been investigating the application of their plasma technology to gasify coal. It is a fusion plasma technology for better efficiency of low-carbon fuels [1]. They recently completed experiment for the gasifier of 150kwe, and are currently trying experiment for the gasifier of 1.4MWe. They have tried to design the gasifier that has cold gases of a higher efficiency. However it is considerably complicated and expensive that performance of gasifier is experimentally studied, because it is difficult to measure or control gases of very high temperature. So this study has verification of simulation for the gasifier of 150kWe and focuses on prediction of performance for the gasifier of 1.4MWe with a computational fluid dynamics (CFD) method. It is possible to predict flow patterns, tracks of particles, combustion characteristics, temperature distributions and chemical distributions using the commercial CFD solver ANSYS/FLUENT.

2. Methods and Results

2.1 Numerical methods

Figure 1 shows characteristics for analysis of the plasma coal gasifier. The three-dimensional flows in gasifier includes in the turbulence, dispersion, mixing, chemical physical reaction of gases, devolatilization of the coal particles, volatile matter and char partial combustion etc. In addition, the heat transfer occurs by convection and radiation. So this steady stated CFD analysis is performed with gas-phase and char reaction models, turbulence models, discrete phase models (DPM) and thermal radiation models [1]. Table I shows numerical model used in FLUENT code [2].



Fig.1. View of gasifier and general characteristics

Table I Numerical models used in FLUENT			
Analysis	 Three-dimensional, steady stated flows Mass, velocity (x, y, z), temperature,		
system	thermal radiation, and turbulence equation		
Physical model	 Turbulence: Realizable k-ε model Particle: DPM (with random walk model) Radiation: P1 thermal radiation model 		
Chemical	 Char gasification: Multiple surface		
model	reaction model Finite-rate/eddy-dissipation model		

The physical gasification of coal is evaporation and devolatilization by heating coal. Namely, the coal particle is divided into the char, volatiles and moistures.

$$Coal \rightarrow Volatile(V) + Char(C_{(s)}) + Moisture$$
 (1)

Then, homogeneous reactions of volatiles and heterogeneous reactions of char take place. The kinetic rate expressions for global reactions for both heterogeneous and homogeneous reactions are given by relationship as [3, 4, 5]:

$$k = AT^{\beta} e^{-Ea/RT} \tag{2}$$

The char gasification reactions take place at surface of char particles. The heterogeneous reactions are modeled by multiple surface reaction mechanism [6]. The chemical reactions in the gas-solid interaction include reaction of a char particle with oxygen, steam and carbon dioxide and the major products are hydrogen and carbon monoxide [7]. Then, the gas phase reactions are the reaction of oxidant and product that generated from char reaction and devolatilization of the entrained flow. The turbulence chemistry interaction is modeled using a finite rate/eddy dissipation model, a built in module in FLUENT [6, 8]. The gasification reactions are given as follows [3].

$$C_{(S)} + 0.5O_2 \rightarrow CO \tag{3}$$

$$C_{(S)} + CO_2 \to 2CO \tag{4}$$

$$C_{(S)} + H_2 O \rightarrow CO + H_2 \tag{5}$$

$$vol + xO_2 \rightarrow yCO_2 + zH_2O + wH_2$$
 (6)

$$vol \rightarrow aCO + bCO_2 + cH_2 + dH_2O + eCH_4 + fN_2$$
 (7)

$$CH_4 + 0.5O_2 \rightarrow CO + 2H_2 \tag{8}$$

$$H_2 + 0.5O_2 \to H_2O \tag{9}$$

$$CO + 0.5O_2 \rightarrow CO_2$$
 (10)

$$CH_4 + H_2O \leftrightarrow CO + 3H_2 \tag{11}$$

$$CO + H_2O \leftrightarrow CO_2 + H_2$$
 (12)

2.2 Geometry and mesh

Fig.2 shows the internal flow field in gasifier of 150kWe and 1.4MWe. Coal is transported with the feeding gas through the torch, and oxidant is injected through tangential ports. The gasifier of 150kWe has 3 torch at the upper and lower of reactor, and the gasifier of 1.4MWe has 8 torches. Torch supplies not only coal but also steam and heat. There are tangential ports in tangential direction of torch, respectively. In addition, some air is supplied through the view ports.



Fig.2. Views of the internal flow field for CFD modeling

Fig.3 and Fig.4 are grids of the gasifier of 150kWe and 1.4MWe, respectively. The total number of cells is approximately 1.5 and 1.1 million in the each internal flow field. The shape of the cells is tetrahedrons as body fitted grids. These have inflated boundary on the walls of gasifier. The number of inflated layers is 5. Each torch and ports has finer grids



Fig.3. Mesh for gasifier of 150kWe



Fig.4. Mesh for gasifier of 1.4MWe

2.3. Operating conditions

Table II show proximate analysis, ultimate analysis and measurement result of the calorific value for Indonesia lower coal burnt used in NFRI. A diameter (D_{coal}) of the coal particles is less than 70 μ m in their experiments, and is assumed to have a uniform particle size of 50 μ m for this analysis. Then the coal combustion and char gasification reaction are assumed to take place only in surface of the coal particles. The coal volatile include all gases such as hydrogen, methane, nitrogen etc. The chemical equation coefficients for volatiles according to each coals is in table III.

Table II Design for Indonesia lower coal

%		150kWe	1.4MWe
	Moisture	24.44	24.40
Proximate	Fixed carbon	35.91	35.91
analysis	Volatile	33.31	33.31
	Ash	0.35	6.35
	С	52.47	52.47
	Н	4.66	4.66
Ultimate	0	16.08	16.58
analysis	Ν	0.01	0.01
	S	0.10	0.10
	Ash	_	-
Coal HHV (kcal/kg)		4,774	4,474

Table ${\rm I\!I\!I}$ Design for Indonesia lower coal

$vol + xO_2 \rightarrow yCO_2 + zH_2O + wH_2$					
	150kWe	1.4MWe			
x	0.050	0.420			
У	1.540	0.030			
Z	1.080	1.430			
W	0.577	0.583			
$vol \rightarrow aCO + b$	$vol \rightarrow aCO + bCO_2 + cH_2 + dH_2O + eCH_4 + fN_2$				
	150kWe	1.4MWe			
a	0.35058	0.02775			
b	0.07985	0.60773			
С	0.49111	0.00250			
d	0.03973	2.3e-14			
e	0.82957	0.82700			
f	0.05170	0.58293			

Oxidant is oxygen and steam, and a feeding gas is air. Table IV shows inlet conditions varied according to injection amount of oxidant, coal and feeding gas in gasifier of 150kWe and 1.4MWe. The injection power is for devolatilization of coal. The heat loss is only assumed in the exhaust duct of the 150kWe gasifier.

	e		
	Injection location		
Cole(kg/s)	Torch	125	890
Steam(kg/s)	Torch/Upper ports	88	610
Oxygen(lpm)	Lower ports	1000	6900
Air (lpm)	Torch/View ports	1610	11200
Power(kW)	Torch	100	800

Table IV Design for Indonesia lower coal

2.4 Analysis results for gasifier of 150kWe

Fig. 5~6 show contours of each species mole fractions. Most of carbon dioxide is generated by reaction $CO + 0.5O_2 \rightarrow CO_2$ (carbon monoxide is oxidized) at bottom of reactor. So a small quantity of carbon monoxide generated in end of torch is almost oxidized, and most of carbon monoxide is regenerated by char reaction with carbon dioxide or steam in reactor. The mole fraction of carbon monoxide especially increases over 20% at exhaust duct. The hydrogen is generated by the reduction reaction of steam at torch and maintained to end of exhaust duct.



Fig.5. Contour of mole fraction of CO2 and COfor150kWe



Fig.6. Contour of mole fraction of H2 and CH4for150kWe

The results at outlet are summarized in table V. The cold gas efficiency of CFD analysis is 74.849%. Most of species mole fractions is not only similar to experiment results, but the cold gas efficiency and carbon exchange

rate is similar to experiment results for gasifier of 150kWe.

Table V Results of experime	nt and simulation	for the	150kWe
-----------------------------	-------------------	---------	--------

	Mole fraction (%)			Carbon	Cold gas
	H2	CO CO2		exchange	efficiency
				rate (%)	(%)
Exp.	29.80	24.50	19.50	113.45	74.80
CFD	30.17	20.74	23.79	110.19	78.85

2.5Analysis results for gasifier of 1.4MWe

Fig.7~9 show contours of temperature and each species mole fractions. The injection coal and gas is pumped into gasifier as room temperature, and is heated from torches. The heat separate into chars and volatiles. The chars and volatile is combusted with oxygen injected from lower tangential ports. Therefore, lower region of reactor has very high temperature that is over locally approximately 2500°C and concentration of carbon dioxide. This generated carbon dioxide has reduction reaction with steam from upper torches and tangential ports, and becomes carbon monoxide. The hydrogen is sharply generated in upper region of reactor due to char reaction with carbon dioxide that is from lower region of reactor. The methane is generated in upper region of reactor, but is under 1% at outlet duct because is mostly used for chemical reactions. Otherwise, the nitrogen stay steady in all region.



Fig.7. Contour of mole fraction of CO2 and COfor150kWe



Fig.8. Contour of mole fraction of CO2 and COfor150kWe



Fig.8. Contour of mole fraction of CO2 and COfor150kWe

The results at outlet are summarized in table VI and VII. The cold gas efficiency is 98.451%.

Table VI Mole and mass fraction for gasifier of 1.4MWe					
%	H2	СО	CO2	CH4	N2
Mole fraction	36.70	20.98	20.39	0.91	21.30

Mass

fraction

3.03

Table VII Results of simulation for gasifier of 1.4MWe

28.49

0.85

23.50

44.13

Tuble 12 Hebuild of Simulation for Gubiner of Hilling				
Mass flow rate (kg/sec)	0.72			
Temperature at outlet (K)	2007.69			
Carbon exchange rate (%)	108.002			
Cold gas efficiency (%)	98.451			

3. Conclusions

This study has numerical investigation for the phenomena of coal gasification for coal gasifier of 150kWe and 1.4MWe at experiment operating conditions. This study has verification of simulation for the gasifier of 150kWe, and predicts performance for the gasifier of 1.4MWe. The gasifier of 1.4MWe will have a cold gas of higher efficiency than gasifier of 150kWe because can generate many hydrogen gas. So this gasification has the potential to become cornerstone technology in many hydrogen industries.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This study is supported by the National Fusion Research Institute (NFRI) grant funded by the Korea government (No. E2015-125).

REFERENCES

[1] H. Choi, J. Suh and T. Lho, Numerical Investigation on Performance of Coal Gasifier of 150kW under Various Injection Conditions, transactions of the Korean Nuclear Society Spring Meeting, 2015

[2] C. Lee, B. Jung, J. Lee and Y. Yun, Modeling and Simulation on the Reacting Flow Field and the Performance of Coal Gasifier by Using CFD Method, International Journal of Advanced Mechanical Engineering, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 15~20

[3] J. Hong, S. Park, J. Song, J. Hwang, Numerical Study on 300 MW Shell-Type One-Stage Entrained Flow Bed Gasifier : Effect of Coal Biomass Blending Ratio on CO2 Gasification, Trans. of the Korean Hydrogen and New Energy Society, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 274~284

[4] E.Biagini et al., Devolatilization rate of biomass and coal-biomass blends: an experimental investigation, vol. 81

[5] H. Watanabe, and M. Otaka, Numerical simulation of coal gasification in entrained flow coal gasifier, vol. 85[6] ANSYS workbench FLUENT manual, 2010

[7] B.W. Brown, L.D. Smoot, P.J Smith and, P.O. Hedman, Measurement and Prediction of Entrained-Flow GasificationProcesses, AIChE Journal, vol. 34, pp.435~446

[8] P. P. Mashingo, G. R. John, C. F. Mhilu, Performance Prediction of a Pressurized Entrained Flow Ultra-Fine Coal Gasifier, Modeling and Numerical Simulation of Material Science, 4, 70-77