

Establishment of Performance Criteria on Maintenance Rule Program Implementation

150

(Maintenance Rule)

가

SSC

3,4

가

가

Abstract

The objective of the Maintenance Rule is to require monitoring of the overall continuing effectiveness of licensee maintenance programs to ensure that the safety related and certain nonsafety-related SSCs are capable of performing their intended functions. And, for the nonsafety-related equipment, failures will not occur that prevent the fulfillment of safety-related functions, and failures resulting in scrams and unnecessary actuations of safety-related systems are minimized. That is, proper maintenance is essential to plant safety. To monitor the overall continuing effectiveness of licensee maintenance program, it is needed to establish the proper performance criteria for each SSC. Therefore, we surveyed the performance criteria establishment methods and implemented them to the Auxiliary Feedwater System and Component Cooling Water System on UCN3,4 Units. Also we understand which data is required to establish the performance criteria and what the problems are.

1.

(Maintenance Rule)^[1]

가

EPRI 가 : (demand-based failure rate) 가 가 , (time-based) 가 demand & time-based Poisson 가 가

가

:

$$P(r) = \frac{n!}{r!(n-r)!} P^r (1-P)^{n-r}$$

Poisson :

$$P(n) = \frac{(IT)^n}{n!} e^{-IT}$$

(False alarm rate) :

$$f(r) = 1 - \sum_{x=0}^n P(x)$$

가

가

P(n)

P(r)

5%

,

P(x)가 95%

가

.

,

가 95%

, False alarm rate f(r) 5%

.

5%

(false alarm)

.

0

,

0

3.2

1

,

SSC

5

20

(fail to start)

0.01

100% 가

1

5%

15%,

2

0.1%

1%

가

2.3

7000

가

(capability)

PSA

2

3,4

가 2.1

34.67%

3.2

PSA

SSC

가

SSC
PSA

(match)

PSA

2

가

24

가

가

3

가

가

10

가

2

5

32

3

가

가

0.26

가

9

3

8760

18

가

PSA

2

PSA

1.1709,

0.2688

가

Poisson

가

3

8.3%

5%

96.87% 95%

2.43%

5%

가

가

, 4

20.54%

96.87%

2

1
2.76%

가 가

1

2

PSA Event for Functional Failure Minimal Cutsets	PSA Value	Data Basis: Demand or Hourly	Failure Rate(per Demand or Hourly)	Estimated Demands or Hours	No. of Trains Aggregated	No. of Expected Failures Aggregated	P(n) %	P(n+1) %	Cumulative P(n)%	n	n+1
AFMPR01AA	3.6-e-3	Hourly	1.5e-4	5	1	1.1709	8.3	2.43	96.87	3	4
AFMPR02BB	3.6-e-3	Hourly	1.5e-4	5	1						
AFMPS01AA	3.6-e-3	Demand	3.0e-3	32	1						
AFMPS02BB	3.6-e-3	Demand	3.0e-3	32	1						
AFTPR01BA	7.2e-3	Hourly	3.0e-4	29	1						
AFTPR02AB	7.2e-3	Hourly	3.0e-4	29	1						
AFTPS01BA	1.5e-2	Demand	1.5e-2	32	1						
AFTPS02AB	1.5e-2	Demand	1.5e-2	32	1						
CCMPR001PA	1.2e-4	Hourly	5.0e-6	8660	1	0.2688	20.54	2.76	96.97	1	2
CCMPR001PB	1.2e-4	Hourly	5.0e-6	8760	1						
CCMPR002PA	1.2e-4	Hourly	5.0e-6	8760	1						
CCMPR002PB	1.2e-4	Hourly	5.0e-6	8760	1						
CCMPS002PA	1.3e-3	Demand	1.3e-3	18	2						
CCMPS002PB	1.3e-3	Demand	1.3e-3	18	2						

4.

PSA

, PSA

가 가

가

가

2~3

RCM 가

RCM 가

Acknowledgment

1. U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, 10 CFR 50.65, "Requirement for Monitoring the Effectiveness of Maintenance at Nuclear Power Plants", 1991. 7
2. Nuclear Management and Resource Council, NUMARC 93-01, "Industry Guideline for Monitoring the Effectiveness of Maintenance at Nuclear Power Plants, Rev.1", 1993. 5
3. U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Regulatory Guide 1.160, "Monitoring the Effectiveness of Maintenance at Nuclear Power Plants, Rev. 1", 1995
4. NUREG-1526, "Lessons Learned from Early Implementation of Maintenance Rule at Nine Nuclear Power Plants," 1995. 6
5. G.P. Rozga, "Calculation/Addendum Title : PSA Assessment of MR APC and RPC" Southern Co. 1998. 12.
6. , , , , " " , , '98 , 1998, 10
7. EPRI Technical Bulletin 96-11-01, "Monitoring Reliability for the Maintenance Rule", 1996.10
8. EPRI Technical Bulletin 97-03-01, "Monitoring Reliability for the Maintenance Rule - Failure to Run", 1997. 3
9. J.T. Hawley, M.A. Melnicoff, "Calculation No.: BRW-97-0938-N : PSA Basis for Braidwood's Maintenance Rule Performance Criteria", ComEd., 1997. 10. 16
10. , , " " , , '99 , 1999, 5