Proceedings of the Korean Nuclear Society Spring Meeting Cheju, Korea, May 2001

Accurate Measurement of ⁹⁰Sr in Aqueous Samples with Full Spectrum DPM Method by Liquid Scintillation Counting

Myung Ho Lee, Kun Ho Chung, Young Hyun Cho, Kun Sik Choi, Chang Woo Lee, Korea Atomic Energy Research Institute

> Young Il Song Korea Electric Power Company

Abstract

The conventional dual label DPM (DL-DPM) and full spectrum DPM (FS-DPM) counting method for determination of ⁹⁰Sr was comparing under the aspect of the accuracy and detection limits, and the FS-DPM method was applied to determine the ⁹⁰Sr in liquid waste samples. In liquid scintillation counting, the activity concentrations of ⁹⁰Sr and ⁹⁰Y were calculated from quench correlation curves related with SIS and tSIE quench indicators. Direct measurement of purified ⁹⁰Sr with spectrum unfolding techniques could overcome the disadvantages of the DL-DPM method. The activities of ⁹⁰Sr in the ⁹⁰Sr/⁹⁰Y standardized solution measured with the FS-DPM protocol were close to the true activity. The measurement of ⁹⁰Sr in the liquid waste samples with the FS-DPM counting method was easier and faster than that with the DL-DPM method, since optimization of counting regions is not necessary.

1. Introduction

In the determination of ⁹⁰Sr by counting the ⁹⁰Y activity that equilibrated with ⁹⁰Sr activity,

beta counting with a gas proportional counter [1-3] or Cerenkov counting with a liquid scintillation counter [4-8] has been generally used for the measurement of 90 Sr. However, these determinations of 90 Sr are time-consuming and laborious because a sufficient period (2 ~ 4 weeks) is demanded for reaching an equilibrium state between 90 Sr and 90 Y as well as chemical separation step of 90 Sr is not acceptable in an emergency situation.

To overcome the disadvantages of the conventional measurement of ⁹⁰Sr, the direct measurements of ⁹⁰Sr or ⁹⁰Y by liquid scintillation counting with modern liquid scintillation spectrometry equipped with spectrum analysis have been developed [9-18]. Comparing the liquid scintillation counting and the gas proportional counting, low counting efficiency of a gas proportional counter requires a long counting time for a low-level activity sample. Also, the measurement of ⁹⁰Sr with a proportional counter has the demerits such as lack of spectral information and lack of energy discrimination using counting windows. Among the liquid scintillation counting methods of ⁹⁰Sr, a spectrum unfolding method was developed for analysis of mixtures of ⁹⁰Sr, ⁸⁹Sr and ⁹⁰Y with expensive computer and relatively elaborate and expensive auxiliary counting equipment [18]. Recently, simple spectrum unfolding method was developed by Packard Instrument Company (Meriden, CT, USA). Hong et al applied this method to determine the activities of ⁸⁹Sr and ⁹⁰Sr in aqueous samples [19]. In this study, comparing with the dual label DPM (DL-DPM) method, advantages of the full spectrum DPM (FS-DPM) method for determining ⁹⁰Sr were described. Also, the FS-DPM method on measuring ⁹⁰Sr was applied to liquid waste sample after validating on the standard solution of ⁹⁰Sr.

2. Experimental

2.1 Apparatus and materials

A standardize solution of ⁹⁰Sr/⁹⁰Y, provided by Amersham, was used for calibrating the counting efficiency of ⁹⁰Sr and ⁹⁰Y related with the quenching index. Separation of ⁹⁰Sr and ⁹⁰Y was carried out with extraction chromatography utilizing Sr Spec[™] (Eichrom Industries, IL, USA) column [20,21]. The ⁸⁵Sr tracer was obtained from Amersham. Radioisotopes dilution was made by weight. A liquid scintillation counter (Tricarb-2770) was used for the measurement of radiostrontium. Data analysis and the calculation of activity were performed by using the FS-DPM protocol stored in the liquid scintillation counter.

2.2 Quench calibration for ⁹⁰Sr and ⁹⁰Y measurement

The counting efficiency of each ⁹⁰Sr and ⁹⁰Y with a particular guench level must be measured for determining the individual activity of ⁹⁰Sr and ⁹⁰Y. Quench calibration was done by counting a quench series of reference standards for ⁹⁰Sr and ⁹⁰Y. A known activity of ⁹⁰Sr was separated from the ⁹⁰Sr/⁹⁰Y standardized solution using a Sr Spec column. The column of Sr Spec resin was conditioned with 20 ml of 6 M HNO₃. The standardized solution was loaded to the column followed by washing with 20 ml of 6 M HNO₃. The passing and washing solutions, containing ⁹⁰Y, were collected and evaporated to dryness to avoid chemical/color quenching due to the nitrate anion. Strontium-90 was stripped with 8 ml of deionized water and collected in a 20 ml low diffusion polyethylene vial. To each sample (5 sets) 12 ml liquid scintillator (Ultima Gold LLT, Packard Instrument) was added into five liquid scintillation counting vials. The quenching agent nitromethane was then placed into each vial in increasing amounts over the range of 0-600 µL. A set of quenched standards of ⁹⁰Y was prepared by the same method of ⁹⁰Sr. Prior to activity counting, 5 parallel experiments for ⁸⁵Sr separation were done for chemical recovery determination. The average recovery of strontium was 96 ± 3 %. This value was directly used for the activity calculation. The quench correction curves were produced by the "Count Standards" protocol in the

instrument and stored in the Packard liquid scintillation analyzer.

2.3 Determination of ⁹⁰Sr in liquid waste samples

Adding 50 mg of a stable Sr carrier into the liquid waste sample (300 ml), the sample was evaporated to dryness on a hotplate. After the evaporate was dissolved in 0.1 M HNO₃ solution, the sample solution was passed through the cation exchange column packed with 10 ml of AG 50-X8 cation exchange resin preconditioned with 0.1 M HNO₃. After rinsing with 50 ml of 0.1 M HNO₃ solution, 20 ml of 6 M HNO₃ was eluted and introduced into the Sr-spec column preconditioned with 6 M HNO₃. After washing with 10 ml of 6 M HNO₃ solution, strontium was stripped with 20 ml of deionized water. The flow rate for all procedures was kept at 0.5 ml/min. 300 mg of oxalic acid was added to the strip solution. Strontium oxalate was precipitated from alkaline solution by adding 3 ml of 25 % NH₃. The precipitate was filtered through pre-weighed filter paper. The chemical recovery for strontium was determined by gravimetry. Samples were dissolved in 8 ml of 0.1 M HCl and mixed with 12 ml liquid scintillation cocktail. Strontium-90 was analyzed by liquid scintillation counting. For gas-proportional counting, detailed description of the sample preparation for measuring ⁹⁰Y has been well described elsewhere [22].

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Measurement of ⁹⁰Sr standard solution with spectrum unfolding method

Spectrum unfolding method developed by Packard Instrument Company can be used to determine the activities of dual labeled sample such as ⁸⁹Sr - ⁹⁰Sr [19] and ³³P - ³²P [23]. The principle of the FS-DPM counting method is based on the decomposition of the composite into two components using an individual SIS (Spectral Index of the Sample) [24]. The SIS index of each radionuclide in a mixed sample is predetermined by the quench correlation

curves plotting SIS against tSIE quench parameter. In order to be able to calculate CPM and DPM over the entire quench range, SIS versus tSIE and efficiency versus tSIE correlation curves for ⁹⁰Sr and ⁹⁰Y have to be stored in the liquid scintillation counter. Quench correction curves for full spectrum DPM of ⁹⁰Sr and ⁹⁰Y plotted with tSIE and SIS were shown in Fig. 1. Individual DPM in the full spectrum protocol can be calculated from the net CPM and the efficiency calibration curves for ⁹⁰Sr and ⁹⁰Y. DPM calculations are performed at maximum efficiency levels for ⁹⁰Sr and ⁹⁰Y, according to quenching in the scintillation cocktail. No spillover corrections are required as spectrum unfolding gives the actual CPM for ⁹⁰Sr and ⁹⁰Y.

Direct measurement of purified ⁹⁰Sr with spectrum unfolding techniques easily overcomes the disadvantages of the DL-DPM method. As shown in Fig. 2, regionless counting in the FS-DPM method avoids error due to incorrect selection of optimum regions in the DL-DPM method. As the zero spillover concept maximizes the counting efficiencies for ⁹⁰Sr and ⁹⁰Y, counting efficiencies of the FS-DPM method (63 %) are higher at each quench level than those of the DL-DPM (59 %). At a counting time of 100 min, the detection limit of ⁹⁰Sr (25.63 mBq/sample) in the FS-DPM mode calculated from the Curie equation [25] is a little lower than that (27.37 mBq/sample) in the DL-DPM mode due to high counting efficiency.

To validate reliable measurement of ⁹⁰Sr with spectrum unfolding method, known activities of ⁹⁰Sr/⁹⁰Y were measured with the FS-DPM protocol. Without chemical separation of ⁹⁰Sr from ⁹⁰Y, the activity of the ⁹⁰Sr standardized solution was measured directly by the liquid scintillation counter. As shown in Fig. 3, ⁹⁰Sr activities measured with the FS-DPM method were very close to the true ⁹⁰Sr standard activity. It is interesting to compare the ⁹⁰Sr standard activities measured with the DL-DPM protocol and those with the FS-DPM protocol. Prior to measuring ⁹⁰Sr activity, ⁹⁰Sr were separated from ⁹⁰Y in the equilibrated ⁹⁰Sr/⁹⁰Y standard solution with extraction chromatography [20,21]. As presented in Table 1, the activities of ⁹⁰Sr measured with the FS-DPM protocol were close to the expected value, regardless of

passing time of ⁹⁰Sr separation. On the contrary, when the activity of ⁹⁰Sr was measured with DL-DPM protocol in a few days after separation of ⁹⁰Sr, the concentrations of ⁹⁰Sr were overestimated, comparing with the expected value, because ⁹⁰Y ingrowth in the ⁹⁰Sr region with increasing time contributed to the activity of ⁹⁰Sr, as shown in Fig. 4. Therefore, measurement of ⁹⁰Sr with the spectrum unfolding protocol is more accurate and reliable than that with the dual DPM protocol, since this method is independent of ⁹⁰Y ingrowth.

The activities of ⁹⁰Y measured in the DL-DPM and FS-DPM protocol were a little lower than the expected value. In the calculating the counting efficiency of ⁹⁰Y, the loss of ⁹⁰Y in the sample preparation and the column operation for chemical separation of ⁹⁰Y should have been compensated for getting reliable data of ⁹⁰Y. However, directly measured the purified ⁹⁰Sr from chemical separation before ⁹⁰Y ingrowth, it is not necessary to get accurate activity of ⁹⁰Y in the determination of ⁹⁰Sr.

3.2 Application to liquid waste sample

To check its general applicability, the FS-DPM and DL-DPM liquid scintillation method were used to measure ⁹⁰Sr in liquid waste sample collected from three different liquid waste storage tanks at nuclear power plants. Measurement of ⁹⁰Sr by the liquid scintillation counter began directly from chemical purification of ⁹⁰Sr. The results are presented in Table 2, which includes the results from the conventional gas-proportional counting method after ⁹⁰Y milking. Determining ⁹⁰Sr in the liquid waste samples, ⁸⁹Sr could interfere with the accuracy of ⁹⁰Sr measurements. However, it is implied that ⁸⁹Sr did not contain in these liquid waste samples, because ⁹⁰Y, which beta energy ($E_{MAX} = 2.282$ MeV) overlaps with ⁸⁹Sr ($E_{MAX} = 1.492$ MeV), was found to be below to the detection limit (0.085 Bq/l).

No significant difference was observed in the liquid scintillation counting and the gasproportional counting. However, the measurement of ⁹⁰Sr in the liquid waste sample with the FS-DPM counting method was easier and faster than that with the DL-DPM method, because optimization of counting regions is not necessary, as noted previously. Also, comparing with the gas-proportional method, the FS-DPM method has advantages of spectral energy discrimination using a Spectraworks[™] spectrum analysis software, as well as high chemical yield and counting efficiency, though the higher background (scintillation counting; 3.8 cpm, proportional counting; 1.0 cpm) of liquid scintillation counter reduces its sensitivity in measuring the sample containing low-level ⁹⁰Sr activity.

Acknowledgements – This study has been carried out under the Nuclear R & D program by Ministry of Science and Technology of Korea.

References

- 1. A. S. Goldin, R. J. Velten, G. W. Frishkorn, Anal. Chem. 31 (1959) 1490.
- 2. H. O. Fourie, J. P. Ghijsels, Health Phys. 17 (1969) 687.
- N. A. Chieco, D. C. Bogen, E. O. Knutson (Eds), EML Procedures Manual, 27the ed., U.S. Department of Energy, New York. 1992.
- 4. C. Poletiko, R. Lemerchier, B. Leiba, P. Llug, J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem., Art. 178 (1994) 131.
- 5. H. Bem, Y. Y. Barir, S. M. Shuker, J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem., Art. 147 (1991) 263.
- 6. J. M. Torres, J. F. Garcia, M. Llaurado, G. Rauret, Analyst 121 (1996) 1737.
- 7. K. Walter, N. Trautmann, G. Herrmann, Radiochim Acta 62 (1993) 207.
- 8. E. M. James, Appl. Radiat. Isot., 38 (1987) 953.
- 9. R. A. Tinker, J. D. Smith, M. B. Cooper, Analyst 122 (1997) 122.
- 10. D. Tait, A. Weichen, Sci. Total Environ. 130/131 (1993) 447.
- 11. H. E. Bjornstad, H. D. Lien, Fu-Yu Yu, J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem., Art. 156 (1992) 165.

- 12. C. J. Passo, G. T. Cook, Handbook of environmental liquid scintillation spectrometry. A compilation of theory and methods, Meriden:Cambera, 1994.
- 13. R. B. Randolph, Int. J. Appl. Radiat. Isot. 26 (1975) 9.
- 14. S. Zhu, A. Ghods, J. C. Veselsky, A. Mirna, R. Schelenz, Radiochim Acta 51 (1990) 195.
- 15. A. Alvarez, N. Navarro, S. Salvador, Radioanal. Nucl. Chem., Art. 191 (1995) 315.
- 16. M. Heilgeist, Radioanal. Nucl. Chem., Art. 245 (2000) 249.
- 17. H. Amano, N. Yanase, Talanta, 37 (1990) 585.
- 18. H. V. Piltingsrud, J. R. Stencel, Health Phys. 23 (1972) 121
- K. H. Hong, Y. H. Cho, M. H. Lee, G. S. Choi, C. W. Lee, Appl. Radiat. Isot. 54 (2001)
 299.
- 20. E. P. Horwitz, M. L. Dietz, D. M. Nelson, J. J. Larosa, W. D. Fairman, Analyt. Chim. Acta 238 (1990) 263.
- 21. E. P. Horwitz, R. Chiarizia, M. L. Dietz, H. Diamond, Analyt. Chim. Acta 281 (1993) 361.
- 22. K. H. Hong, Y. H. Cho, S. B. Kim, M. H. Lee, C. W. Lee, J. Korean Asso. Radiat. Prot., 21 (1996) 9.
- 23. M. F. L'Annunziata, Tri-Carb LSC Application Note, CIA-003, Packard Instrument Company, 1997.
- 24. M. J. Kessler (Ed), Liquid Scintillation Analysis. Science and Technology, Packard Instrument Co., 1989.
- 25. L. A. Curie, Anal. Chem. 40 (1968) 586.

| | | | Dual DPM | | Full spectrum DPM | |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| Time after | after Expected value (Bq) | | Measured value (Bq) | | Measured value (Bq) | |
| ⁹⁰ Sr separation | ⁹⁰ Sr | ⁹⁰ Y | ⁹⁰ Sr | ⁹⁰ Y | ⁹⁰ Sr | ⁹⁰ Y |
| 0 day | 183.6 | 0 | 181.0 ± 7.8 | 0 | 182.9 ± 7.7 | 0 |
| 3 day | 183.6 | 99.4 | 187.8 ± 9.1 | 56.6 ± 6.2 | 180.1 ± 10.1 | 69.4 ± 6.2 |
| 6 day | 183.6 | 145.0 | 191.5 ± 8.2 | 94.9 ± 7.9 | 182.9 ± 8.5 | 113.2 ± 8.4 |
| 9 day | 183.6 | 165.9 | 196.7 ± 8.7 | 112.9 ± 6.1 | 184.0 ± 9.8 | 140.9 ± 9.2 |
| 12 day | 183.6 | 175.5 | 202.8 ± 9.9 | 134.1 ± 8.5 | 181.5 ± 8.7 | 157.2 ± 8.5 |
| 15 day | 183.6 | 179.9 | 203.1 ± 9.0 | 150.4 ± 7.5 | 184.8 ± 6.4 | 165.1 ± 7.9 |

Table 1. Comparison of ⁹⁰Sr/⁹⁰Y activities in the standard solution measured with DL-DPM and FS-DPM with the passing of time after ⁹⁰Sr separation

| | | Liquid scintilla | Gas-proporational counting | | | |
|----------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| Sample | Dual DPM | Full spectrum DPM | | | | |
| number | ⁹⁰ Sr (Bq) | ⁹⁰ Sr (Bq) | ⁹⁰ Y (Bq) | Chemical yield (%) | ⁹⁰ Sr (Bq) | Chemical yield (%) |
| Y1 | 7.7 ± 1.9 | 8.5 ± 1.2 | < N. D. | 92 | 6.9 ± 1.2 | 82 |
| Y2 | 9.1 ± 1.7 | 8.3 ± 1.4 | < N. D. | 87 | 8.6 ± 1.8 | 74 |
| W1 | 0.23 ± 0.06 | 0.33 ± 0.05 | < N. D. | 89 | 0.30 ± 0.07 | 83 |
| W2 | 0.36 ± 0.07 | 0.35 ± 0.07 | < N. D. | 91 | 0.29 ± 0.01 | 79 |
| K1 | 0.70 ± 0.09 | 0.62 ± 0.09 | < N. D. | 90 | 0.54 ± 0.08 | 75 |
| K2 | 0.57 ± 0.05 | 0.67 ± 0.15 | < N. D. | 85 | 0.68 ± 0.11 | 82 |
| K1 K2 | 0.70 ± 0.09 0.57 ± 0.05 | 0.67 ± 0.09 | < N. D. | 85 | 0.68 ± 0.11 | 82 |

Table 2. ⁹⁰Sr activity measured with liquid scintillation counting and gas proportional counting in liquid waste samples collected

from nuclear power plants





Fig. 2. Separation of 90Sr and 90Y in FS-DPM counting with spectrum unfolding technique



 $\phi_{0}^{(i)} = \phi_{0}^{(i)} \phi_$