Proceedings of the Korean Nuclear Society Spring Meeting Cheju, Korea, May 2001

# The Design Concept of PWR-2000 Based on APR1400 (KNGR)

# Soon Heung Chang<sup>1</sup>, Yoon Sun Chung<sup>1</sup>, Gwang Gu Lee<sup>1</sup>, Won Pil Baek<sup>2</sup>, Seung Jong Oh<sup>3</sup>, and Han Gon Kim<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology 373-1 Kusong-dong, Yusong-gu, Taejon 305-701, Korea

<sup>2</sup>Korea Atomic Energy Research Institute 150 Dukjin-dong, Yusong-gu, Taejon 305-353, Korea

<sup>3</sup>Korea Electric Power Research Institute 103-16 Munji-Dong, Yusong-Gu, Taejon 305-380, Korea

## ABSTRACT

This paper proposes the concept of a 2,000 MWe class advanced pressurized water reactor, PWR-2000, which could be suitable to serve after the APR1400 (KNGR). The PWR-2000 achieves the high power level by adopting most design features of the APR1400 but increasing the number of coolant loops from two to three. Preliminary design parameters have been determined through simple sizing calculation and engineering decision for reactor, reactor coolant system, and safety systems. The performance and safety analysis using detailed computer codes is now underway.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Due to the rapid growth of electric demand, the lack of domestic energy sources, and the problems related to other energy sources, Korea has been heavily relying on nuclear energy. Presently nuclear power generation shares more than 40% of Korea's electricity generation and the role of nuclear power in energy supply should be further increased in the future, supplying about 40~50% of electricity.

Korea is now constructing several Korea Standard Nuclear Power Plants (KSNPs, 1,000 MWe) and developing the APR1400, that is the new name of the Korean Next Generation Reactor (KNGR, 1,400 MWe), to start commercial operation after 2010. Considering the speed of technology improvement, we would need another advanced reactor design to serve after the year 2020, following the APR1400. The reactor concepts based on APR1400 design features would be the most appropriate; the Center for Advanced Reactor Research has performed the conceptual design for CP-1300, a 1,300 MWe-class passive PWR based on the reactor coolant system of APR1400. [1]

There are other advanced light water reactor concepts of 1300~1500 MWe at various stages of operation, construction, or development: ABWR by General Electric,

Toshiba and Hitachi, System 80+ by ABB-CE, EPR by Framatome and Siemens, APWR by Westinghouse and Japanese companies, as shown in Table 1. To further improve their economic competitiveness, there are some efforts to increase the power rating up to 1,700 MWe [2].

In general, higher power rating provides advantages from the viewpoint of economics and site area, if it is technically feasible and suitable for the electric power grid system. In this regard, we started to develop the concept of an extra-large scale PWR, PWR-2000. The name of PWR-2000 indicates the PWR that is of 2000 MWe rating and suitable for the new millennium. The important concept of the PWR-2000 is its large power rating that abates the construction cost per unit of electric generation capacity. [2]

The motives of this study are (1) to prepare the national energy demand for future, (2) to solve the siting problem, (3) to enhance the safety and economics (4) to subside the construction cost.

This paper presents the design strategy, overall design requirements, overall design concepts with important design parameters, and future developmental directions.

## **II. DESIGN DIRECTION**

#### II.1. Design Strategy

The design strategy of PWR-2000 is illustrated in Figure 1 and can be summarized as follows:

- (a) adopt the APR1400 as the reference design to take the maximal advantage of domestic and established technologies,
- (b) assess both 16x16 (ABB-CE design) and 17x17 (Westinghouse design) fuel assemblies in core design,
- (c) increase the number of reactor coolant system loops from two (2) to three (3),
- (d) modify the safety system to incorporate recent findings and high thermal power requirements, and
- (e) re-size the system and components to reflect the increased power level.

The present work is not intended to provide the optimized design details for all important systems. However, the more detailed conceptual design work will follow after verification of feasibility and identification of potential problems.

#### II.2. Design Requirements and Constraints

The following design requirements and limitations are considered in developing the concept of PWR-2000:

- Electric power: 2000 MWe
- Design life: 60 years
- Refueling interval:  $\geq 18$  months
- Use the proven technologies
  - Reference reactor: APR1400
  - Fuel Assembly: Westinghouse or CE type
- Technical Limitation
  - Reactor vessel outer diameter:  $\leq 6.5m$

- Core height:  $\leq 14$ ft (4.267m) (We decided that core height fixed on 14 ft)
- Operating pressure: 2250 psia (15.5 MPa)
- Core inlet/outlet temperatures: 565 K, 600 K

## **III. SYSTEM CONFIGURATION**

#### III.1. Reactor and Reactor Coolant System

The nuclear steam supply system (NSSS) of the PWR-2000 is designed to operate at thermal output of 5,900 MWt to produce an electric power of 2,000 MWe.

#### III.1.1. Reactor

Basically the APR1400 design features are maintained except for the increase in the number of fuel assemblies. Two options of the core are being assessed: Westinghouse (WH) and Combustion Engineering (CE) type.

The option A is the WH core assembly type. The core consists of 293 fuel assemblies of  $17 \times 17$  array, and the linear heat rate is 17.90 kW/m. The core equivalent diameter and reactor vessel outer diameter are 4.16 m and 5.79 m.

The option B is the CE core assembly type. The core consists of 333 fuel assemblies of  $16 \times 16$  array, and the linear heat rate is 17.60 kW/m. The core equivalent diameter and reactor vessel outer diameter are 4.28 m and 5.96 m. The vessel O.D. for both options are less than the technical limit of 6.5 m. Figure 2 and 3 show the cross-sectional view of the two options.

The core design should allow the refueling cycle of 18 to 24 months.

#### III.1.2. Reactor Coolant System

The major components of primary circuit are a reactor vessel, three coolant loops, each containing one hot leg, two cold legs, one steam generator (SG), and two reactor coolant pumps (RCPs), and one pressurizer (PZR) connected to one of the hot legs. [3]

We use all components of APR1400. But the pressurizer volume is increased to 3,540 ft<sup>3</sup>, which is reflected power increase. This is to provide more operational stability by absorbing pressure fluctuation as much as possible. The large pressurizer can eliminate the operation of pressure relief valves during minor transients as well as normal operation, resulting in easier operation and higher safety. [4]

The RCS schematic feature is shown in Figure 4.

#### III.2. Safety System and Features

The safety systems consist of a 6 train safety injection system (SIS), a safety depressurization system (SDS), an in-containment re-fueling water storage system, a shutdown system, a 6 train emergency feedwater system and a containment spray system.

Therefore, the safety system is like APR1400, except for having two SIS options, direct vessel injection (DVI) or cold leg injection (CLI), shown as Figure 4 and 5. We

should choose a better type by considering the structural and analytic aspects.

### **IV. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION**

In order to prepare the national energy demand in the future, this paper suggested a new extra-large scale PWR concept that is based on APR1400. The preliminary design is performed, and this result is summarized in Table 2~4, which is compared with APR1400.

The present study provides the first step for the future development of a large-scale PWR that is the most leading in Korea. And it is expected that the Korean nuclear field advance its basic nuclear technology through the achievement of the PWR-2000 development.

In the future, works should be followed in several areas including a more detailed design and a comprehensive safety analysis.

#### V. REFERENCES

- [1] S.H. Chang et al., Korea Looks Beyond the Next Generation, Nuclear Engineering International, Feb. 1997.
- [2] Y. AEBA, E.H. WEISS, APWR, MITSUBISHI, JAPAN/WESTINGHOUSE, USA, IAEA Annual Report, IAEA-SM-353/46, pp.467-481
- [3] H.G. Kim, Y. S. Park, B.S. Kim, and S.J. Cho, The Development of the Advanced Light Water Reactor in Korea – The Korea Next Generation Reactor –, Korea Electric Power Research Institute, Korea Electric Power Co., Taejon, Korea, August 1999
- [4] S.J. Cho and D.W. Jeong, Research Activities and Design Requirements for the next Generation Reactor in Korea, Korea Electric Power Corporation Research Center, Taejon, Korea, July 1995

| Reactor<br>Name   | Net Electric<br>Power | Developer          | Operation/Development Situation       |  |
|-------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| ABWR              | 1311 MWe              | Toshiba/Hitachi/GE | chi/GE Commercial Operation from 1996 |  |
| N4                | 1455 MWe              | Framatome          | Commercial Operation from 1997        |  |
| APWR              | ~1500 MWe             | MHI/WH/Utilities   | Basic Design Development              |  |
| System 80+        | ~1300 MWe             | ABB-CE             | Basic Design Development              |  |
| EPR               | ~1500 MWe             | Fram./Siemens      | Basic Design Development              |  |
| APR1400<br>(KNGR) | ~1400 MWe             | KEPCO              | Basic Design Development              |  |

Table 1. Large Scale LWR Operation /Development Trend over the World

 Table 2. Summary of Basic Design Concepts

|                         | PWR-2000                    | APR1400                     |  |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| Type and Electric Power | PWR, 2000 MWe               | PWR, 1400 MWe               |  |
| Plant Lifetime          | 60 years                    | 60 years                    |  |
| Refueling Interval      | 18-24 months                | 18-24 months                |  |
| Containment             | Double Cylindrical Building | Double Cylindrical Building |  |
| Reactor Coolant System  | 3-loop                      | 2-loop                      |  |

|                            | PWR-2000                 |                          | APR1400                  |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Thermal Power              | 5900 MWth                |                          | 4000 MWth                |
| Coolant Pressure           | 2250 psia (15.5 Mpa)     |                          | 2250 psia (15.5 Mpa)     |
| Coolant Inlet/Outlet Temp. | 292 / 326                |                          | 296 / 327                |
| Total Mass Flow            | 29,450 kg/s              |                          | 20,889 kg/s              |
| Presurizer Heat Capacity   | 3540 kW                  |                          | 2400 kW                  |
| Fuel Assembly Type         | 17×17<br>(264 rods/ass.) | 16×16<br>(236 rods/ass.) | 16×16<br>(236 rods/ass.) |
| Fuel Assembly No.          | 293                      | 333                      | 241                      |
| Effective Core Height      | 4.267 m                  | 4.267 m                  | 3.81 m                   |
| Core Equivalent Diameter   | 4.16 m                   | 4.28 m                   | 3.65 m                   |
| Average Linear Heat Rate   | 17.90 kW/m               | 17.60 kW/m               | 18.37 kW/m               |
| Reactor Vessel ID          | 5.22 m                   | 5.37 m                   | 4.64 m                   |

# Table 3. Summary of NSSS Design

Table 4. Summary of Safety System Design

|   | PWR-2000                | APR1400                 |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| No. of Trains                             | 6                       | 4                       |
|   | Direct Vessel Injection |                         |
| Injection Methods                         | or                      | Direct Vessel Injection |
|   | Cold Leg Injection      |                         |
| No./Type of High Pressure .Injection Pump | 3                       | 2                       |
| No./Type of Low Pressure.Injection Pump   | 3                       | 2                       |



Figure 1. PWR-2000 Development Strategy



Figure 2. Cross-Sectional View of the Reactor (WH type)



Figure 3. Cross-Sectional View of the Reactor (CE type)



Figure 4. Arrangement of PWR-2000 RCS and DVI



Figure 5. Arrangement of PWR-2000 RCS and CLI