가

## The Performance Evaluation of Top Nozzle for New LWR Fuel



## Abstract

Optimal design and performance evaluation were performed to develop the new Top Nozzle which satisfied a 5000-lb-load design requirement and had the easy dismantlement and assembly abilities. The main evaluation items are to decide the most adoptable candidate by selecting optimal components among the derived Top Nozzles that have been evaluated in the viewpoint of structural integrity, reconstitution, manufacturability etc. It is necessary to establish the proper design systems for positively meeting the design finalization and the future design change in the standpoint of nuclear fuel safety and economy. The better design feature, therefore, was set up through the aforementioned methodology for the adaptable model. In addition, an effective performance evaluation could be performed by establishing the optimal design scheme.

1.

. 1 , 가 1 가 [1].

가 가 가 가 . 2.1.

X-750 718 .

. 3

가 , 2

•

3. 가

•

가 , , , , 가

가

,

,

.

, ,

[1, 2]. **3.1.** .

, .

. 5000 lbs

4 가 5000 lbs . . under cut 가 가 , 가 , . 가 , . ANSYS[3] 3

.

4 under cut 7  $Pm = 2/3 \sigma y = 30,000 \times 2/3 = 20,000 \text{ psi}$  60 % 7  $Pm = 2/3 \sigma y = 30,000 \times 2/3 = 20,000 \text{ psi}$ 

3.2.

가

ANSYS

.

,

$$F(D,d,n) = V$$

$$= \mathbf{p} d^{2} \frac{\mathbf{p} Dn}{4} = [\mathbf{p}^{2} d^{2} n D] \frac{1}{4}$$

$$7 \mathbf{k} \quad \mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{T}$$

$$\frac{\partial F(D,d,n)}{\partial D} - \frac{\mathbf{p}^{2} d^{2} n}{4} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial F(D,d,n)}{\partial d} - \frac{\mathbf{p}^{2} d n D}{2} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial F(D,d,n)}{\partial n} - \frac{\mathbf{p}^{2} d^{2} D}{4} = 0$$

$$, \mathbf{D} = , \mathbf{d} = , \mathbf{n} =$$

$$, \mathbf{m} = , \mathbf{d} = , \mathbf{n} =$$

$$f_{1} = \frac{dGd}{D^{3}np} \times \left[\frac{4D - d}{4D - 4d} + \frac{0.615 \ d}{D}\right] - t_{max} \leq 0$$

$$f_{2} = \frac{8WD^{3}}{d^{4} \ G} - \Delta \leq 0$$

$$f_{3} = D + d - D_{OP} \leq 0$$

$$f_{4} = -D - d + D_{IP} \leq 0$$

$$f_{5} = -n \leq 0$$

$$, G = , W = , \delta = , \Delta = , \tau_{max} =$$

ANSYS

.

, Ns=

2

Sweep Tool

,

)

.

 $ns = n \times Ns$  ( n=

.

.

7

가

110,000

-

,

psi

, 가										
가										
2.3										
4	:	가	1	가						,
					가					
가	[1]						7	የት		
		가				4		가		
0, 90, 180, 270									가	
	45		45, 1	35, 225, 3	15					
2.4										
	(SS-304)									,
가 ,										
7					8		9			

.

.

,

가 [1].

,

·

10 11 가 FLUENT 가

, 10 11

90 가 [1, 5].

•

가

가

,

.

,

,

,

.

가

.

,

,

가

, , ,

가

가 .

.

,

가

", 2001

3.

[2]"

,

, ,

, ,

,

", 2002

## , 2001. 10.

[3] "ANSYS User's Manual", Ver. 6.2., Swanson Co., 2002.

•

[4] "Top Nozzle Holddown Spring Optimization of KSNP Fuel Assembly", Accepted, The Second China-Japan-Korea Joint Symposium on Optimization of Structural and Mechanical System, Seongki Lee et al., KEPCO Nuclear Fuel Co., Ltd., 2002. 11.

[5]"

", 2001

, 2001.

	1.		가	
	가			
	( :100)	(6)	(3)	
	20	$\checkmark$	✓	
	10	✓		
	5	$\checkmark$		
	10	✓		
/	5	✓		
/	20	✓		
	10	$\checkmark$		
	20 (30)	✓	$\checkmark$	( )
	50		$\checkmark$	

2.

Feasible Items	Wire Dire (d)*	Mean Dia. (D)*	Coil Turn (N)*	Volume (V)*	Stiffness (Ks)*	Shear Criteria Satisfaction (τ <110,000 psi)	
						Operating	Design
F1	1.020	1.100	0.850	0.9958	1.002	0	0
F2	1.029	1.110	0.838	0.9902	1.013	0	0
F3	1.020	1.070	0.889	0.9944	1.023	0	О
F4	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.001	0	0
F5	1.000	1.000	0.500	0.518	2.001	0	×
F6	1.000	1.000	0.750	0.760	1.335	0	×

\* Normalized values w.r.t current design



1.

2.



( )















8. /



9.



10. Ligament

11.