## Hydrophilic property change ion implanted polymer

Chan Young Lee, Jae Keun Kil, Jae Hyung Lee

Korea Atomic Energy Research Institute, Proton Engineering Frontier Project chlee@kaeri.re.kr

## 1. Introduction

Ion implantation was performed onto polymer, PC(polycarbonate), in order to investigate surface hydrophilic property through contact angle measurement. PC was irradiated with N, Ar, Kr, Xe ions at the irradiation energy of  $20 \sim 50 \text{keV}$  and the dose range of  $5 \times 10^{15}$ ,  $1 \times 10^{16}$ ,  $7 \times 10^{16}$  ions/cm<sup>2</sup>. The contact angle of water has been reduced with increasing fluence and ion mass but decreased with increasing implanted energy. The root mean square of surface roughness examined by means of AFM changed smoothly from 3.59 Å to 2.22 Å and the change of wettability was discussed with respect to elastic and inelastic collisions obtained as results of TRIM simulation.

## 2. Methods and Results

Polycarbonate(PC) film sheets were irradiated with low energies (20~50)keV at different ions and fluences ranging from  $5 \times 10^{15}$  to  $7 \times 10^{16}$  ions/cm<sup>2</sup>.

# 2.1 Wettability measurement

Generally, wettability change is carried out contact angle measurement and the contact angle of water for PC is about  $82^{\circ}$ .



Figure 1. Contact angle of PC film with N+, Ar+, Xe+ irradiation at 50keV.

Fig. 1. and Fig. 2. show change of surface contact angle with a various energy and ions. Contact angles were reduced to  $65^{\circ}$  (N<sup>+</sup>),  $50^{\circ}$  (Ar<sup>+</sup>),  $47^{\circ}$  (Xe<sup>+</sup>) after implantation at fluence rate  $7 \times 10^{16}$  ions/cm<sup>2</sup> in Fig. 2. Also Fig 3. shows contact angles went down according to implanted energy at N+ implantation.



Figure 2. Contact angle of PC film at N+ with various implanted energy

## 2.2 AFM analysis

AFM observation was carried out for unimplanted and implanted PC film in order to clarify the effect of the variation of dose at a 50keV. Fig. 3(a)-(c) shows the surface nano-morphology of unimplanted and implanted films at fluences. At the fluence of  $7 \times 10^{16}$ ions/cm<sup>2</sup>, implanted film surface has more flat than the unimplanted film, which is obviously different from the surface nano-morphology of unimplanted PC film. The surface roughness was reduced with an increase in ion fluence in the range  $1 \times 10^{16}$  and  $7 \times 10^{16}$  ions/cm<sup>2</sup>. This means the surface nano-morphology of PC film was significantly affected by 50keV implantation and the surface roughness and contact angle reduced depending on ion fluence[1].



(a) Unimplanted ( $R_{rms}$ : 0.387nm) (b) 1×1016 (Rrms: 0.212nm)



(c)  $7 \times 10^{16}$  (R<sub>rms</sub> : 0.207nm) Figure 3. AFM image of surface morphology

Table 1. The Comparison of R<sub>rms</sub> and R<sub>max</sub>

(Z-axis : 1nm/div.)

	Untreated	Fluence (ions/cm <sup>2</sup> )		
		1×10 <sup>16</sup>	$7 \times 10^{16}$	
Contact Angle(°)	82	66.22	61.82	
R <sub>rms</sub> (nm)	0.387	0.212	0.207	
R <sub>max</sub> (nm)	3.622	1.805	1.684	

## 2.3 Effect of energy transfer

The interaction of energetic heavy ions with organic polymers involves several relevant differences with respect to those induced by other ionizing projectiles, like X-rays, neutrons and electrons. This phenomenon may cause change of surface wettability. Therefore, in order to evaluate the thickness of a polymer layer, modified by ion implantation, as well as elastic and inelastic energy losses. we calculated some spatial and energy parameters for ions, implanted in PC. Calculations were performed with allowance for recoil atom motion. The evaluated projected range, projected range straggling and some other parameters are summarized below Figure 4-5[3].



Figure 4. Ion range distribution with a various ions and N+ implantation at 50 keV

Figure 4. shows ion range distribution with a various ions and implanted energy. The more mass of ion is heavy, the more ion is close to surface ,whereas The nitrogen ion with high energy is penetrated deeply from surface. For low energy ions(keV/amu) the dominant energy deposition process involves elastic binary collisions and the energy localization volume coincides with the collision cascade volume[3-5].



Fig. 5. Energy loss profile for Electron stopping power(Ec) and nuclear stopping power(En) with a various energy

Table 2. The values of Stopping power on surface with a various energy.

(Ee : electronic stopping, En : nuclear stopping)

	20keV	30keV	40keV	50keV
Ee (eV/ion)	17.117	20.019	22.371	24.387
En (eV/ion)	0.401	0.306	0.252	0.215
Ee + En	17.518	20.325	22.623	24.602
Ee / En	42.686	65.421	88.774	113.42

Table 3. The values of Stopping power on surface with a various ions.

	N <sup>+</sup>	Ar <sup>+</sup>	Xe <sup>+</sup>
Ee (eV/ion)	24.427	26.321	27.608
En (eV/ion)	0.177	0.858	2.590
Ee + En	24.604	27.179	30.198
Ee / En	138.006	11.975	4.975

#### 3. Conclusion

An experimental study has been made of wettability, structure and chemical states of a various ion at energies implanted polycarbonate. Wettability was investigated by a sessile drop method, and AFM measurement. Also prior to an experimental TRIM code simulated and investigated between surface interaction and ions. Results are as follows:

1) The contact angle of water drops on N+, Ar+, Kr+, and X+ implanted polycarbonate decreases from  $82^{\circ}$  to  $47^{\circ}$  as the fluence increases.

2) The surface morphology is reduced with the increase in the ion fluence.

It is concluded that the improvement in the wettability of the modified PC surface may be caused by nuclear stopping or linear energy transfer which may cause a chain scission by displacing an atom from the polymer chains. Also it was greatly dependant on the surface roughness and, the sputtering phenomenon caused by ion-polymer interactive collision facilitates chemical bonding in the interface between a liquid and a solid.

## REFERENCES

[1] D. J. Li, F. Z. Cui, and Bull. Mater. Sci. 21 (1994) 588

[2] J. S. Cho, W. K. Choi, H. J. Jung and S. K. Koh., J. Mater. Res., vol. 12, No. 1, Jan (1997)

[3] J. F. Ziegler, J. P. Biersack, U. Littmark, "The stopping and range of ions in solids" (1985)

[4] J. Lindhard, M. Schaff, and H. F. Schitott, Kgl. Danske videnskab, Ma. Fys. Med., 33, 14 (1963)

[5] A. Dunlop, F. Rullier-Albenque, C. Jaouen, C. Templier and J. Davenas "Materials under Irradiation"