IPS Seismic Analysis with Modified Y-Piece

J. M. Lee, B. S. Sim, C.Y. Lee, S. H. Ahn, H. R. Kim

HANARO Utilization Technology Division, 3-Pin Fuel Test Loop R&D Department, Korea Atomic Energy Research Institute, P. O. B 105, Yuseong, Daejeon, 305-353

jmlee@kaeri.re.kr

1. Introduction

For the operation and maintenance works of In-Chimney in HANARO, the bottom of Y-piece supporting the IPS had been cut-off by 100mm, Ref. [1], as shown in Fig. 1. This modification needs for the additional finite element calculation following an earlier study, Ref. [2], which showed the seismic analysis.



Fig. 1 Modified Support Structure (Y-Piece)

2. Input Parameters

2.1 FE Model

Modified model includes the effect of the fuel basket on the support structure and Support tube which changed its dimensions. Table 1 show that parameters.

| Table | 1 | Modified | mode | ling |
|-------|---|----------|------|------|
|-------|---|----------|------|------|

| Parts | Previous | Modified |
|---------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Support tube ID/OD | 83/120 mm | 92/120 mm |
| Support tube length | 400 mm | 300 mm |
| Added mass of Fuel Basket | - | 120 kg |

IPS seismic model is shown in Fig. 2. Element type is S4R and CONN3D2.

2.2 Response Spectrum Method

The required inputs are SSE with 2% damping and OBE with 1% damping. Following the guidelines in Ref. [3], the procedure used is:

- Combination of the direction SRSS
- Combination of the modes 10% method



Fig. 2 Extent of IPS Seismic Model

3. Results

3.1 Natural Frequency Results

Table 2 gives the results of the natural frequency analysis for both model cases for the significant modes below 100 Hz by listing the mode frequencies and participating masses.

Table 2 Natural Frequency Results

| Mode | Natural F | requency | Participating mass (kg) | | |
|-------|-----------|----------|-------------------------|------|-----|
| | Previous | Modified | Х | Y | Z |
| 1 | 11.6 | 11.5 | 2 | 2 | 100 |
| 2 | 11.6 | 11.6 | 99 | 0 | 2 |
| 3 | 26.5 | 26.6 | 0 | 181 | 0 |
| 4 | 27.2 | 27.5 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 29.8 | 29.9 | 1 | 491 | 8 |
| 7 | 33.3 | 33.0 | 0 | 16 | 1 |
| 10 | 44.1 | 44.9 | 65 | 0 | 2 |
| 11 | 44.6 | 45.5 | 0 | 16 | 22 |
| 12 | 55.4 | 55.9 | 344 | 7 | 29 |
| Last | 93.3 | 93.3 | 33 | 802 | 50 |
| Total | - | - | 546 | 1516 | 215 |

This result follows from the fractions of total mass which participate in modes up to 100 Hz and these are 25% in X, 70% in Y and 10% in Z (the total model mass is 2153 kg). Fig. 3 shows the deflected shape for the first two modes and Fig 4 shows modes 3 and 5 which are the modes with significant vertical moment.



Fig. 3 First Two Vibration Modes (Freq. 11.6 Hz)



Fig. 4 Vibration Modes 3 and 5 (26.6 Hz and 29.9 Hz)

3.2 Seismic Results for Deflection

Table 3 gives the results of the seismic response analysis for the peak deflection at the position of the top of the IR-1 Flow Tube. Maximum deflection 1.0 mm (SSE) and 0.9 mm (OBE) are well within the allowable limit 3.2 mm.

Table 3 Seismic results for deflection

| Maximum Deflection | SSE | OBE |
|-------------------------------|-----|-----|
| At position of flow tube (mm) | 1.0 | 0.9 |
| At lower bracket support (mm) | 1.3 | 1.1 |
| Maximum deflection (mm) | 4.8 | 4.2 |

4. Conclusion

- 1. Modified Y-Piece and added mass of Fuel Basket does not cause substantial changes to the IPS natural frequency.
- 2. Reduction in Y-Piece length does not impact on maximum displacement of the IPS at the point of IR-1 Flow Tube.

REFERENCES

[1] Y-Piece Cutting, HAN-FL-400-PB-002, Rev.0, 27 December 2006.

[2] Seismic Analysis of the KAERI IPS with Lower Bracket Support. HAN-FL-E-310-RT-R002, Rev.1 Appendix G, 26 May 2006.

[3] ABAQUS v6.4 User Manuals, ABAQUS Inc., 2003