

## Integral Nuclear Data Validation Using Experimental Spent Nuclear Fuel Compositions

I. C. Gauld<sup>1</sup>, M. L. Williams<sup>1</sup>, F. Michel-Sendis<sup>2</sup>, and J. S. Martinez<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Oak Ridge National Laboratory, P.O. Box 2008, Oak Ridge, TN 39831-6170

<sup>2</sup>OECD Nuclear Energy Agency, Boulogne-Billancourt, France

**Abstract** – Measurements of the isotopic contents of spent nuclear fuel provide experimental data that are a prerequisite for validating computer codes and nuclear data for many spent fuel applications. Under the auspices of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) Nuclear Energy Agency (NEA) and guidance of the Expert Group on Assay Data of Spent Nuclear Fuel (EGADSNF) of the NEA Working Party on Nuclear Criticality Safety (WPNCSS), a new database of expanded spent fuel isotopic compositions has been compiled. The database, SFCOMPO-2.0, includes measured data for 729 fuel samples acquired from 44 different reactors and representing 8 different reactor technologies. Measurements for more than 90 isotopes are included. This new database provides data essential for establishing the reliability of code systems for inventory predictions, but it also has potential application to nuclear data evaluation. The database, together with adjoint based depletion sensitivity and uncertainty tools developed to help quantify the importance of nuclear data on nuclide concentrations, are described.

### I. INTRODUCTION

Integral benchmark experiments provide global measures of data performance for applications and are a valuable resource for nuclear data testing and evaluation efforts. Integral testing of the US ENDF/B-VII.1 nuclear data library [1] and several international nuclear data libraries rely heavily on testing using critical benchmarks as compiled in the International Criticality Safety Benchmark Experiment Project (ICSBEP) Handbook [2]. Integral testing of ENDF/B-VII.1 included more than 2,000 critical benchmarks for compounds, metals, solutions, and other mixed systems in thermal, intermediate, fast neutron energy spectra. The use of criticality experiments as a centerpiece of data-testing efforts in the US reflects both the investment in nuclear data development by the criticality community and the availability of high quality and well-documented ICSBEP benchmarks.

Under the auspices of the OECD Nuclear Energy Agency, physics benchmarks have been compiled and documented in the International Reactor Physics Benchmark Experiment (IRPhEP) Handbook [3] that exercise nuclear data in broader range of applications. As of 2014, the IRPhEP Handbook contained 136 evaluations and measurements of critical buckling, spectral characteristics, reactivity coefficients, kinetics, reaction rates, and power distribution measurements. Both the ICSBEP and IRPhEP benchmarks are applied to realistic applications, including uncertainty analysis and cross section adjustment, uncertainty evaluation for reactor core design methods, criticality safety problem validation, and cross section data testing [4]. However, an intrinsic limitation of both the ICSBEP and the IRPhEP benchmarks is that their focus, for the most part, is on static systems of unirradiated nuclear materials. Thus, many of these benchmarks exercise data for predominantly uranium and plutonium compounds,

moderators/reflectors materials, and absorber (criticality control) materials. There are relatively few benchmarks for irradiated nuclear materials.

Interim dry fuel storage research and licensing activities are increasing as spent fuel pools at nuclear plants in many countries are reaching capacity. In addition, geological repositories in Finland and Sweden are expected to be operational in the next decade. Recognizing the need for improved experimental benchmarks to support international activities in spent fuel management, the Expert Group on Assay Data for Spent Nuclear Fuel (EGADSNF), under the guidance of the Working Party on Nuclear Criticality Safety (WPNCSS) of the OECD/NEA, has developed and expanded a database of spent fuel isotope compositions obtained by destructive radiochemical analysis of irradiated fuel samples from commercial nuclear reactors. Under this initiative, the spent fuel database SFCOMPO, originally developed by the Japan Atomic Energy Research Institution [5], has been expanded from 246 samples to 729 samples from 44 reactors representing eight different international reactor technologies.

Because of the design complexities and uncertainties in the actual conditions of an operating reactor, realistic estimates of the accuracy of results from computational systems can be extremely difficult to evaluate. In addition, there are uncertainties attributed to the complex radiochemical measurements not present in criticality and reactor physics experiments. Under the OECD/NEA, these data have been more completely documented and peer reviewed for accuracy by international experts. While measurement accuracies and the quality and extent of documentation often fall short of the high standards set by the ICSBEP and IRPhEP benchmarks, these measurements nevertheless represent valuable validation tests and have been widely used to evaluate the performance characteristics

of computer codes, models, and nuclear data used for calculating spent fuel compositions [6,7].

Due to the significant complexity of spent fuel measurements and past inconsistent documentation of reactor design and operating data and uncertainties, these measurements have not been widely adopted for integral testing of nuclear data. Unlike the ICSBEP and IRPhEP benchmarks involving primarily steady state systems, spent fuel experiments involve time-dependent isotope depletion and decay as defined by the transmutation equations. Therefore, identifying the relationships between computational bias and nuclear data is particularly difficult. Tools to help understand and quantify the complex relationships between nuclear data and measured compositions are therefore needed in order to better utilize databases such as SFCOMPO-2.0 for nuclear data testing and evaluation.

This paper describes the current status of the new SFCOMPO-2.0 database and benchmark development efforts, and it summarizes and demonstrates new nuclear data sensitivity and uncertainty analysis tools for depletion problems being developed and targeted for future release in the SCALE nuclear systems analysis code system [8].

## II. SFCOMPO DATABASE

### 1. Database Content

SFCOMPO-2.0 is a database of well-documented, peer-reviewed measurements of spent fuel assay data [9] that can be used by the nuclear community for validating computational systems and nuclear data in applications of reactor physics, nuclear fuel cycle, used fuel storage and disposal, as well as advanced fuels and reactor design concept evaluations. The measured assay data have been compiled and reviewed by international radiochemistry experts and modeling practitioners as coordinated through the activities of the OECD/NEA EGADSNF [10].

Since 2007, the database has been expanded beyond pressurized water reactor (PWR) and boiling water reactor (BWR) fuel types that were included in earlier versions. The database now includes 8 different reactor designs: light water PWR, BWR, water-water energetic reactor (VVER)-440, and VVER-1000; heavy water CANDU, graphite-moderated MAGNOX, advanced gas cooled reactor (AGR), and RBMK reactor designs. Measurements have been acquired from 67 different fuel assemblies and 291 fuel rods. The updated database, SFCOMPO-2.0, contains over 20,000 measurement entries, with associated uncertainties as reported by the measurement laboratories.

Each reactor design class includes a range of fuel assembly designs. The diversity of designs, coolants, moderators, enrichments, and fuel burnup values provides a wide range of neutron spectral conditions during irradiation that can be used to test the performance of nuclear data over different neutron energy regions. For example, the database

includes spent fuel measurements for samples with enrichments from 0.71 wt% (natural U) to 4.9 wt%, and burnup values from 0.85 GWd/tU to more than 70 GWd/tU. Coolant and moderator materials include light water, heavy water, gas, and graphite. The reactors, country of origin, and the number of measured fuel samples available for each reactor are summarized in Table I.

Table I. Summary of SFCOMPO-2.0 Data Content

Design	Reactor	Country	Samples
AGR	Hinkley – 3	United Kingdom	21
	Hinkley – 4	United Kingdom	36
	Hunterston B – 1	United Kingdom	6
BWR	Cooper	United States	17
	Dodewaard	The Netherlands	5
	Forsmark – 3	Sweden	2
	Fukushima Daiichi – 3	Japan	36
	Fukushima Daini – 1	Japan	13
	Fukushima Daini – 2	Japan	18
	Garigliano – 1	Italy	26
	Gundremmingen – 1	Germany	18
	JPDR	Japan	30
	Monticello	Italy	30
CANDU	Quad Cities – 1	United States	18
	Tsuruga – 1	Japan	10
	Bruce – 1	Canada	3
MAGNOX	NPD	Canada	27
	Pickering A – 1	Canada	1
PWR	Bradwell – 1	United Kingdom	1
	Hunterston A – 1	United Kingdom	3
	Beznau – 1	Switzerland	6
	Calvert Cliffs – 1	United States	33
	Genkai – 1	Japan	2
	Gösgen – 1	Switzerland	9
	H. R. Robinson – 2	United States	7
	Mihama – 3	Japan	9
	Neckarwestheim – 2	Germany	1
	Obrigheim – 1	Germany	33
	Ohi – 1	Japan	1
	Ohi – 2	Japan	5
	Takahama – 3	Japan	16
Three Mile Island – 1	United States	24	
Trino Vercellese – 1	Italy	49	
Turkey Point – 3	United States	18	
Vandellos – 2	Spain	9	
Yankee	United States	78	
RBMK	Leningrad – 1	Russia	41
VVER-1000	Balakovo – 2	Russia	3
	Balakovo – 3	Russia	2
	Kalinin – 1	Russia	5
VVER-440	Novovoronezh – 5	Russia	10
	Kola – 3	Russia	12
VVER-440	Novovoronezh – 3	Russia	7
	Novovoronezh – 4	Russia	28

The database is designed with a top-to-bottom hierarchical structure organized by reactors, fuel assemblies, fuel rods, and samples, with the measurement data usually being associated at the sample level. However, some experiments involving dissolution of entire assemblies from

a reprocessing plant are included and are therefore associated with the assembly level.

The database includes measured nuclide concentrations, activities, isotopic atom and mass ratios, measurement method, uncertainty, laboratory, and measurement date. Measurements for 91 isotopes are included (Fig. 1). Measurement data are always included as the values and units reported by the laboratory or experimental program. Where possible, the measurement data are automatically converted by the program to a common mass basis of mg/g fuel or mg/g initial U.

With the addition of newer experimental program data that include high-precision measurements and improved design and operating data documentation, the quality of the database has improved significantly compared to earlier versions. Moreover, as part of the data review process, multiple independent cross checks of the information have been performed. Also, preliminary benchmark models have been developed for many of the experiments.

An important caveat on the database is that these reviews have been performed to ensure that the database accurately reflects the experimental data *as reported* and are traceable to primary reference reports. The reviews do not constitute a formal evaluation of the data to assess quality and accuracy of the measurements, consistency of the data, completeness of the documentation, development of benchmarks, or an analysis of uncertainties. However, procedures for such evaluations have been developed [11], and preliminary detailed evaluation reports have been initiated for several datasets.

## 2. Database Application Interface

SFCOMPO-2.0 is a structured query language (SQL) Java H2 relational database accessible through a graphical application interface developed by the NEA. The database has a similar interface and functionality as the IRPhEP Database and Analysis Tool (IDAT) [12] and Database for the ICSBEP (DICE) [13] programs, also developed by the NEA. Information in the database can be accessed by browsing the data in a hierarchical organization structure by reactor technology type (e.g., BWR, Magnox, etc.), reactor name, assembly ID, fuel rod, and measured fuel sample level. Figure 2 illustrates the database structural organization as viewed in the application interface browser, expanded to show the data for one of the Fukushima-Daini-1 reactor data sets. Figure 3 provides a screen shot of the database browse panel showing the organizational structure (left side) and the display of design and operating information at the assembly level.

A major advancement is the ability to store and display more complete and detailed fuel and assembly design information and time-dependent operating history data for

the reactor, assembly, fuel rod and measured sample. SFCOMPO-2.0 also provides references for all the data it displays. These references are linked to primary reports such as radiochemistry laboratory reports, open journal papers, and assay data evaluation reports, providing full traceability of the data. Primary experimental and reference reports are accessible as electronic PDF versions of the reports that can be accessed through a built-in viewer.

## 3. Search Capabilities

Many database fields can be queried using the *Basic* and *Advanced* search panels. The *Basic* search query includes reactor type, measured fuel type (i.e., U-metal, UO<sub>2</sub> or MOX, UO<sub>2</sub>-Gd<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>), sample burnup range, and measured nuclide (see the nuclide search panel in Fig. 1). *Advanced* query parameters include the following:

- Reactor name
- Coolant type
- Moderator type
- Assembly identifiers
- Lattice type
- Lattice dimension
- Fuel rod pitch (range)
- Fuel rod diameter (range)
- Enrichment (range)
- Axial position or height of the sample (range)
- Measurement type
- Measurement uncertainty (range)

These search features allow the user to rapidly identify measurement data for isotopes, the reactor, and fuel design parameter characteristics of interest. The search panel returns the nuclide concentration values and uncertainties of experimental measurements as queried by the user for inspection and comparison purposes. SFCOMPO-2.0 allows the user to visualize measurement data of selected samples and provides the numeric measurements data, along with other information queried. The search results list the sample level information for all samples matching the search criteria and the measurement data in separate panels. A graphical plotter displays nuclide concentrations provided in normalized units of initial (unirradiated) fuel mass as a function of sample burnup. A more general plotting capability can be used to display most of the measurement data against other fields used as the x-axis. Fig. 4 shows the results of a database query for <sup>239</sup>Pu measurements for all uranium-based fuels and a plot of the results and uncertainties as a function of sample burnup.

Measured Nuclide name						
None selected	Cm245	Gd155	Nb95	Pu239	Sm152	Xe136
Ag109	Cm246	Gd156	Nd (natural)	Pu240	Sm154	Zr95
Ag110m	Cm247	Gd157	Nd142	Pu241	Sn126	
Am (natural)	Cs (natural)	Gd158	Nd143	Pu242	Sr90	
Am241	Cs133	Gd160	Nd144	Pu244	Tc99	
Am242	Cs134	H3	Nd145	Rh103	U (natural)	
Am243	Cs135	I129	Nd146	Ru101	U232	
Am242m	Cs137	Kr (natural)	Nd148	Ru103	U233	
C14	Eu (natural)	Kr83	Nd150	Ru106	U234	
Ce (natural)	Eu151	Kr84	Np237	Sb125	U235	
Ce140	Eu152	Kr85	Pd105	Se79	U236	
Ce142	Eu153	Kr86	Pd108	Sm (natural)	U238	
Ce144	Eu154	La139	Pm147	Sm147	Xe (natural)	
Cm (natural)	Eu155	Mo95	Pr144	Sm148	Xe130	
Cm242	Gd (natural)	Mo97	Pu (natural)	Sm149	Xe131	
Cm243	Gd152	Mo98	Pu236	Sm150	Xe132	
Cm244	Gd154	Mo100	Pu238	Sm151	Xe134	

Combine with AND
  Combine with OR

Fig. 1. Measured isotopes listed in SFCOMPO-2.

### III. APPLICATIONS OF THE DATABASE

Destructive radiochemical assay data are one of the primary means used to validate depletion codes and nuclear data libraries for spent fuel applications. Measured isotopic data in SFCOMPO include many nuclides that dominate applications in nuclear criticality safety (burnup credit), gamma radiation sources, passive neutron sources, decay heat, and long-lived radiological isotopes important to geological repository disposal. A widely used approach to burnup code and data validation is to apply the code to calculate the same nuclide inventories for which measurements are available. This technique has underpinned uncertainty analysis for many spent fuel applications, including burnup credit, in nuclear criticality safety. In addition to measured nuclide concentration data, these applications also require the detailed design and reactor operating conditions needed to model the fuel assembly irradiation and predict the nuclide concentrations in the fuel.

Another application of the data includes the use of measured data alone without the need to model individual experiments. SFCOMPO has been used recently to develop uncertainty bands for waste categorization in instances where detailed information on the waste is not available [14]. For this application, there is no requirement for detailed operating history information.

Comparing calculated to measured nuclide concentration provides essential information necessary to validate codes and estimate the bias and uncertainty in

isotope predictions. However, these comparisons do not provide direct information on the accuracy of the specific nuclear data used by the codes. Spent fuel measurements can be used for nuclear data testing since the results of computation simulations of these experiments rely on the accuracy of the data used by the codes. Application to nuclear data evaluation is complicated by the large amount of data used by the codes for burnup simulations and time-dependence of data importance in transmutation analysis. The production of any nuclides usually depends on cross sections and half-lives for many different nuclides in the production chain, and fission products also depend on their fission yields. Software tools to aid in the evaluation of nuclear data uncertainty in transmutation systems are being developed at Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL). The application of these tools to nuclear data evaluation and testing using spent fuel databases such as SFCOMPO is briefly summarized below.




Fig. 2. Screen capture of the SFCOMPO-2 organizational structure showing information for the Fukushima-Daini-1 samples of assembly 2F1ZIN3 rod A9.

#### IV. TOOLS FOR NUCLEAR DATA ASSESSMENT

Computational analysis of irradiated fuel compositions can provide a wealth of information concerning bias and uncertainty in the nuclear data used in modeling and simulation codes, including neutron cross section data, fission product yields, and nuclear decay data. Transmutation calculations use a large amount of nuclear data, and it is essential in nuclear data testing to identify which data have the largest sensitivity on the responses and which data are therefore most responsible for biases in the calculated results. The transmutation matrix used by ORIGEN contains more than 50,000 transition element coefficients that represent energy-averaged neutron reactions, decay branch transitions, and fission product yields. The cross sections alone include data for 774 nuclides and 14,000 neutron reactions. Therefore, direct perturbation of nuclear data for these complex systems to determine the importance of nuclear data on different observables is often not efficient or practical.

An efficient approach to calculating nuclear data sensitivities and uncertainties from nuclear data is to use adjoint-based perturbation theory. Adjoint techniques for criticality safety analyses have been developed and are now widely adopted for nuclear data sensitivity analysis, which

is required for numerous applications including experiment similarity analysis [15] and nuclear data adjustment for eigenvalue problems [16]. However, similar techniques are not widely available to analyze transmutation or depletion systems. The development of the adjoint equations for depletion was first published by Gandini [17] and was implemented in the ORIGEN code by Williams [18]. The absolute sensitivity of a calculated response  $R$  to nuclear data element  $\alpha$  can be shown to be [18],

$$S_{\alpha}^R = \langle N_R^*(t) \frac{\partial A(\alpha)}{\partial \alpha} N^T(t) \rangle, \quad (1)$$

where  $N^T$  is the transpose of the forward solution (column) of the nuclide composition vector and  $N_R^*$  is the adjoint solution of the nuclide vector solved for a user defined response  $R$ , and  $A(\alpha)$  is the transmutation matrix containing transition elements  $\alpha$  that define the energy-average neutron cross sections, the fission product yields, and decay transition data. This expression is integrated from  $t = 0$  to  $t = T_f$ . Note that the partial derivative of  $A(\alpha)$  with respect to  $\alpha$  results in zeros in the matrix locations where the data element  $\alpha$  does not contribute. The sensitivity coefficients in Eq. (1) give the absolute change in a defined response  $R$  to a change in data parameter  $\alpha$ . The response can be defined for an individual isotope or aggregate isotopes that contribute to an integral response such as decay heat, gamma emission, or other spent fuel properties.

The forward transmutation equation is expressed in matrix notation as

$$\frac{dN(t)}{dt} = A N(t), \quad t \in (0, T_f), \quad (2)$$

with the solution

$$N(t) = N_0 e^{At}, \quad (3)$$

where  $N_0$  is the known initial value of the nuclide concentration vector at  $t=0$ . The adjoint transmutation equation, in the same notation, is

$$-\frac{dN(t)^*}{dt} = A^T N(t)^* \quad t \in (T_f, 0), \quad (4)$$

and  $N(t)^*$  is defined at the end of irradiation,  $t = T_f$ , for the nuclide response of interest. The adjoint equation is very similar to the forward transmutation equation, except the time derivative is negative, and the transmutation matrix is transposed. Due to the negative derivative, the adjoint is essentially solved backwards in time as a final-valued problem, where the final condition is equal to the response-function nuclide vector.  $N(t)^*$  is a nuclide importance vector that carries the nuclide contribution to the final response at time  $t$ .




Fig. 3. Browser panel showing CANDU reactor design data (37-element design) and fuel bundle map of measured fuel rod locations.




Fig. 4. Query results and plot of measured  $^{239}\text{Pu}$  concentration data for all uranium type fuels.

ORNL recently developed and implemented an adjoint depletion solution in the ORIGEN code [19] which was released in SCALE 6.2. A new solver applies the Chebyshev Rational Approximation Method (CRAM) to approximate the exponential matrix function,

$$e^{At} \approx a_0 + 2Re \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{k/2} a_i (At + \theta_i I)^{-1} \right], \quad (5)$$

where  $a_0$  is the limiting value of the approximation at infinity,  $a_i$  and  $\theta_i$  are the residues and the poles of the of the rational function, and  $k$  is the order of the polynomials used in the CRAM method. This method allows the transmutation equation to be solved using a single numerical method, without the need, as in previous implementations in the ORIGEN code, to remove short-lived isotope chains from the matrix that are then solved separately using Bateman solutions. The single CRAM solution has greatly facilitated the implementation of the adjoint solution.

The forward solution  $N$  and adjoint solution  $N^*$  can be used in perturbation-theory-based sensitivity and depletion uncertainty analysis using Eq. (1) to calculate sensitivity coefficients that relate nuclear data to uncertainty in nuclide concentrations after a specified irradiation and decay period. Using this approach, the importance of all 50,000 nuclear data elements in transmutation matrix on the production of any nuclide, group of nuclides, or integral response of interest can be quantified using a single forward and adjoint ORIGEN calculation. This capability provides an important tool for evaluation of spent fuel isotopic composition experiments, enabling the identification of important nuclear data responsible for formation of measured isotopic concentrations.

While sensitivities provide the importance of nuclear data, they do not reflect the uncertainties in the nuclear data. Uncertainties in the nuclear data propagate through the transmutation calculations and produce uncertainties in the calculated nuclide concentrations and other responses of interest. Sensitivities can be used to obtain the response uncertainty since

$$\Delta R = S_{\alpha}^R \Delta \alpha. \quad (6)$$

Uncertainty contributions to response  $R$  from all nuclear data elements can be determined if data uncertainties or covariances,  $C_{\alpha\alpha}$ , are available as

$$Var(R) = \langle S_{\alpha}^T C_{\alpha\alpha} S_{\alpha} \rangle = \quad (7)$$

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} S_{\alpha_1} & S_{\alpha_2} & S_{\alpha_3} \end{bmatrix}}_{S_{\alpha}^T} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{\alpha_1}^2 & \sigma_{\alpha_1} c_{1,2} \sigma_{\alpha_2} & \sigma_{\alpha_1} c_{1,3} \sigma_{\alpha_3} \\ \sigma_{\alpha_2} c_{2,1} \sigma_{\alpha_1} & \sigma_{\alpha_2}^2 & \sigma_{\alpha_2} c_{2,3} \sigma_{\alpha_3} \\ \sigma_{\alpha_3} c_{3,1} \sigma_{\alpha_1} & \sigma_{\alpha_3} c_{3,2} \sigma_{\alpha_2} & \sigma_{\alpha_3}^2 \end{bmatrix}}_{C_{\alpha\alpha}} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} S_{\alpha_1} \\ S_{\alpha_2} \\ S_{\alpha_3} \end{bmatrix}}_{S_{\alpha}} \quad (8)$$

A prototype code for nuclear data sensitivity analysis using ORIGEN is being developed and tested. This code, Orsen (ORIGEN sensitivity analysis), automates the process of performing the forward and adjoint depletion calculations and processing the output to provide nuclear data sensitivities for all data used in the calculation. This capability will be extended to include data uncertainty analysis using nuclear data covariance data. The basic steps in the procedure are shown in Fig. 5.





Fig. 5. Orsen code sensitivity and uncertainty analysis.

As an Orsen demonstration case, the sensitivity coefficients that relate data perturbations to perturbations in nuclide concentrations after some specified burnup and decay period are calculated for the production of  $^{238}\text{Pu}$  from low-enriched uranium fuel with a burnup 30 GWd/t. The relative sensitivity coefficients ( $S$ ) for all nuclear data are obtained and ranked. The data with the largest sensitivities are listed in Table II. These coefficients represent the magnitude of changes in the nuclear data on the concentration of  $^{238}\text{Pu}$ . A coefficient of unity means that a 1% change in the nuclear data will result in a 1% change in the  $^{238}\text{Pu}$  concentration. A negative coefficient means that an increase in the nuclear data quantity will decrease the predicted  $^{238}\text{Pu}$  concentration.

In this example, the production of  $^{238}\text{Pu}$  is most sensitive to the neutron capture cross sections of  $^{237}\text{Np}$ ,  $^{235}\text{U}$ , and  $^{236}\text{U}$ . This indicates that the major production path starts with  $^{235}\text{U}$  through the chain:



High sensitivities for decay branching fractions of  $^{242}\text{Cm}$ ,  $^{241}\text{Pu}$ , and  $^{242}\text{Am}$  indicate that the  $^{238}\text{Pu}$  buildup from the chain beginning with  $^{238}\text{U}$  is also important. The relative

importance of all nuclear data to any measured nuclide concentration can similarly be quantified.

Another application of the sensitivity analysis tools is pathway analysis, shown in Fig. 6, using the function  $N_R^*(t)N^T(t)$ . This plot, for the production of  $^{238}\text{Pu}$ , shows the contribution of each precursor nuclide to the final response as a function of time. For this example of a final response of  $^{238}\text{Pu}$  atoms produced, this figure shows where the atoms that contribute to final  $^{238}\text{Pu}$  reside in the transmutation chain for times less than  $T_f$ . The results show that about 62% of the  $^{238}\text{Pu}$  concentration comes from  $^{235}\text{U}$  and 38% comes from  $^{238}\text{U}$ .

Table II. Relative Sensitivity Coefficients for  $^{238}\text{Pu}$  Production Data

Parent nuclide	Product nuclide	Data type	S
$^{237}\text{Np}$	$^{238}\text{Np}$	(n, $\gamma$ )	0.6136
$^{235}\text{U}$	$^{236}\text{U}$	(n, $\gamma$ )	0.5928
$^{236}\text{U}$	$^{237}\text{U}$	(n, $\gamma$ )	0.5877
$^{241}\text{Pu}$	$^{241}\text{Am}$	Half life	0.2132
$^{238}\text{U}$	$^{239}\text{U}$	(n, $\gamma$ )	0.2155
$^{242}\text{Cm}$	$^{238}\text{Pu}$	Decay ranch	0.2182
$^{241}\text{Pu}$	$^{241}\text{Am}$	Decay ranch	0.2176
$^{242}\text{Am}$	$^{242}\text{Cm}$	Decay ranch	0.2175
$^{239}\text{Pu}$	$^{240}\text{Pu}$	(n, $\gamma$ )	0.1740
$^{238}\text{U}$	$^{237}\text{U}$	(n,2n)	0.1576
$^{238}\text{Pu}$	$^{239}\text{Pu}$	(n, $\gamma$ )	-0.1475

## V. CONCLUSIONS

Increasing international interest in spent fuel isotopic data to validate computation systems extends to many scientific applications involving spent fuel, including nuclear criticality safety, fuel cycles, spent fuel storage, transportation, geological disposal, and advanced reactor and fuel design.

The OECD/NEA, with support from many international organizations and experts, has led efforts to compile and expand experimental spent fuel measurement data representative of the world's current and past fleet of commercial reactors. This database, SFCOMPO-2.0, is developed to serve as a central resource of reliable, well-documented experimental assay data, with design specifications and operating information for use by the international scientific community, industry, and regulatory authorities. The efforts of the EGADSNF have resulted in a

three-fold increase in the number of measurements as well as improved quality and documentation of the data through the contributions of experts in NEA member countries engaged in compiling and reviewing data. The EGADNSF plans to progressively expand the database and initiate development of benchmark models for each dataset with recommended model inputs and calculated results. Through the contributions and effort of NEA member countries, SFCOMPO-2.0 is today's largest, freely accessible open source of experimental isotopic assay data for international reactor designs.

Application of spent fuel measurements to integral nuclear data testing has not been widely adopted, and for isotopic assay data, it is a largely underutilized resource in data evaluation. Because nuclear data largely define the accuracy of modeling and simulation codes used for fuel depletion, results from depletion simulations can provide important information on integral data performance. Recent and ongoing developments of adjoint-based depletion sensitivity and uncertainty methods can be used to provide additional insight into the complex relationships between nuclear data and nuclide inventories and can help define a quantitative approach to data evaluation.

With the expansion of data representing a wide range of enrichments, burnups, fuel types, moderator and coolant types, along with tools to help the evaluator identify nuclear data importance, SFCOMPO-2.0 can provide valuable information for nuclear data testing for nuclear data and processes that cannot be accomplished using traditional steady-state integral data benchmarks.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank the valuable contributions and efforts of the Expert Group ADSNF members who made SFCOMPO-2.0 a reality. In particular, the NEA acknowledges support received from Spanish Nuclear Safety Council (Consejo de Seguridad Nuclear) and the valuable contribution of UPM Nuclear Engineering students who helped capture the PWR data. ORNL wishes to acknowledge support from the US Department of Energy, the National Nuclear Security Administration, the Office of Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation Research and Development, and the Radiation Shielding Information and Computational Center (RSICC).




Fig. 6. Application of the adjoint solution to identify the contribution pathways for the example of  $^{238}\text{Pu}$  production. The calculation assumes a 3 year irradiation time of PWR fuel (33 GWd/tU) and 3 year decay time.

## REFERENCES

1. M. B. CHADWICK et al., "ENDF/B-VII.1: Nuclear Data for Science and Technology: Cross Sections, Covariances, Fission Product Yields and Decay Data," *Nucl. Data Sheets*, **112**, 2887 (2011).
2. "International Handbook of Evaluated Criticality Safety Benchmark Experiments," NEA/NSC/DOC(95)03/I-IX, Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development-Nuclear Energy Agency (OECD-NEA), NEA No. 7166, Paris (2014).
3. "International Handbook of Evaluated Reactor Physics Benchmark Experiments," NEA/NSC/DOC(2006)1, Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development/Nuclear Energy Agency, NEA No. 7173 (2014).
4. G. PALMIOTTI et al., "Applications of Integral Benchmark Data," *Nucl. Sci. Eng.*, **178**, 295 (2014).
5. M. MOCHIZUKI, K. SUYAMA, Y. NOMURA, and H. OKUNO, "Spent Fuel Composition Database System on WWW - SFCOMPO on WWW Ver. 2," JAERI-Data/Code 2001-020, Japan Atomic Energy Research Institute (2001) (in Japanese).
6. K. SUYAMA et al., "Re-evaluation of Assay Data of Spent Nuclear Fuel Obtained at Japan Atomic Energy Research Institute for Validation of Burnup Calculation Code Systems," *Annals of Nuclear Energy* **38**, 930-94 (2011).
7. G. ILAS, I. C. GAULD, and G. RADULESCU, "Validation of new depletion capabilities and ENDF/B-VII data libraries in SCALE," *Annals of Nuclear Energy* **46**, 43-55 (2012).
8. "SCALE Code System," ORNL/TM-2005/39, Version 6.2.1. Available from the Radiation Safety and Information Computational Center as Package CCC-0785 SCALE 6.1.2 (2016).
9. SFCOMPO 2.0 – Database of Measured Isotopic Concentrations of Spent Nuclear Fuel, with Operational Histories and Design Data. <http://www.oecd-nea.org/sfcompo/>
10. WPNCs Expert Group on Assay Data of Spent Nuclear Fuel (ADSNF). <https://www.oecd-nea.org/science/wpncs/ADSNF/index.html>
11. "Evaluation Guide for the Evaluated Spent Nuclear Fuel Assay Database (SFCOMPO)," NEA/NSC/R(2015)8, Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development-Nuclear Energy Agency (OECD-NEA), Paris (2016).
12. I. HILL et al., "IDAT: The International Handbook of Evaluated Reactor Physics Benchmark Experiments Database and Analysis Tool," *Nuclear Science and Engineering* **178**, 280-294 (2014).
13. J. B. BRIGGS et al., "Identification of Integral Benchmarks for Nuclear Data Testing Using DICE (Database for the International Handbook of Evaluated Criticality Safety Benchmark Experiments)," *Journal*

*of the Korean Physical Society* 59 (2), 1115–1118 (2011).

14. I. FAST, Y. AKSYUTINA, H. TIETZE-JAENSCH, and D. BOSBACH, “Determination of Bandwidths of PWR-UO<sub>2</sub> Spent Fuel Radionuclide Inventory Based on Real Operational History Data,” *IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science*, 63 (4), 2331, (2016).
15. B. T. Rearden, “TSUNAMI-3D: Control Module for Three-Dimensional Cross-Section Sensitivity and Uncertainty Analysis For Criticality,” ORNL/TM-2005/39, Version 5 (2005).
16. “Assessment of Existing Nuclear Data Adjustment Methodologies,” NEA/NSC/WPEC/DOC(2010)429, Report by the Working Party on International Evaluation Co-operating of the NEA Nuclear Science Committee, Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development-Nuclear Energy Agency (OECD-NEA), Paris (2011).
17. A. GANDINI, “Time-Dependent Generalized Perturbation Methods for Burnup Analysis,” CNEN RT/FI(75) 4, CNEBN, Rome (1975).
18. M. L. Williams, “Development of Depletion Perturbation Theory for Coupled Neutron/Nuclide Fields,” *Nuclear Science and Engineering* 70, 20 (1979).
19. A. E. ISOTALO and W.A. WIESELQUIST, “A Method for Including External Feed In Depletion Calculations with CRAM and Implementation into ORIGEN,” *Annals of Nuclear Energy*, 85, 68–77 (2015).