Effect of pressure gradient in the connection region on the stability of edge pedestal
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Abstract - We have numerically investigate the dependence of pedestal properties such as the pedestal height and the pedestal width on the pressure gradient ($\alpha_i$) in the connection region. We use MISHKA, an ideal MHD stability code and EPED1, a predictive model of the edge pedestal to analyze the edge stability and predict its structure. As a result, improvement of pedestal properties and stability can be achieved by reducing $\alpha_i$. Also, the pedestal width and height can be increased by shafranov shift. From this result, we suggest the strategy to improve the edge pedestal. It is possible to increase the pedestal height and width by increasing the core pressure and adjusting the peaking of the core pressure profile.

I. INTRODUCTION

The width of the plasma edge pedestal, formed by the transport barrier, and the pressure at the top of pedestal (pedestal height) strongly affect the performance of fusion plasmas. To achieve the plasma of desired performance, effective prediction and optimization of the edge pedestal are required.

However, the improvement of the pedestal pressure and width still has many difficulties and understanding of its physics remains as a challenge because various plasma conditions are related to the characteristics of the pedestal structure [1]. In previous studies [2, 3], the pressure gradient at the centre of pedestal was mainly considered as a major factor that determines the pedestal stability. However, the edge instability is non-local [1, 4] and therefore, $\alpha_i$ (pressure gradient at the connection region between the core and the pedestal) also can play an important role on the pedestal stability and its performance.

For this purpose, we have investigated the effect of $\alpha_i$ at so-called “no man’s land” on the pedestal properties (connection region). We have used the EPEDI model [5], an edge predictive model which is based on the peeling-balloonning mode (PBM) [6, 7] and the kinetic ballooning mode (KBM) [3, 6], to predict and understand the behaviour of the edge pedestal in terms of pressure gradient in the connection region. A fixed boundary code, HELENA [8] is used in constructing the plasma equilibrium.

In this paper, we firstly discussed the role of edge stability on pedestal structure. The effect of pressure gradient in the connection region on the edge stability was also investigated in following section. After then, we examined the behaviour of edge pedestal structure according to pressure gradient at the connection region. Finally, we suggested the strategies to improve the edge pedestal with core profile control based on our results in last section.

II. STABILITY ANALYSIS

1. Effect of edge stability on the pedestal structure

In general H-mode plasmas, the pedestal height and the width rise together as the edge transport barrier forms which

$$\Delta_{ped} = 0.072 \beta_{p,ped}^2$$

is generally understood to be related with the suppression of the long wavelength drift turbulence via the sheared $E \times B$ flow. However, the KBM turbulence can occur when the pressure gradient at the edge pedestal exceeds onset conditions. Since KBM onset condition is only weakly dependent on the $E \times B$ shear, the constraint it imposes on edge gradients can be approximately described as Eq. (1) without considering turbulence suppression in the pedestal region.

As the edge pedestal builds up, the pressure and current increases until PBM becomes unstable. PBM is a rapidly growing ideal MHD instability which causes the collapse of the pedestal leading to edge localized modes. Therefore, it determines the point where the further pedestal growth is prohibited. width of the edge pedestal. As a non-local mode, its onset can be found with a numerical MHD stability code.

Fig 1 shows the schematic description of how KBM and PBM constraints determine the pedestal height and width. In the beginning, the pedestal height and width grow along the KBM constraint (blue line) with edge turbulence suppression. When the pedestal continues to grow (following blue line), the PBM condition (orange line) is reached, and the pedestal structure is determined when the PBM constraint and the KBM constraint meet (orange star). Furthermore, we can say that more stable PBM means large...
pedestal height and width. For example, as edge stability improves with changes in various plasma parameters and profiles, the PBM onset line moves up in the Fig 1 (orange to green line), and the pedestal can grows further, resulting in improved height and width (orange to green star).

2. Effect of pressure gradient in the connection region on the PBM stability

The pressure gradient in the edge pedestal region is one of the destabilizing sources of PBM, and its growth rate increases with the magnitude of the pressure gradient. In order to investigate the effect of the pressure gradient in the connection region between core and pedestal on the PBM stability, we constructed several plasma equilibria in which the pedestal structure and the pressure at magnetic axis \( P_0 \) are the same while the profile between them is varied. Tangent hyperbolic form of the edge pedestal [4] and the Sauter’s model for bootstrap current [10] are employed to calculate the numerical plasma equilibria. The plasma parameters and shape used in the calculation are shown in Table I.

Examples of pressure profiles and pressure gradient profiles we have used in the calculations are shown in Fig 2. The pressure gradient at the connection region decreases as the pressure peaking increases when the \( P_0 \) is fixed. The stability of the edge pedestal was analyzed with MISHKA, the ideal MHD stability code [11].

The pedestal stability analysis was conducted for toroidal mode \( (n) = 3-20 \) which is the typical range of PBM. We found that the growth rate and mode structure of PBM changes with \( \alpha_i \). We defined \( \alpha_i \) as Eq. (2) to represent the pressure gradient in the connection region corresponding to the normalized pressure gradient \( \alpha \) at \( \psi_R = 1 - 2\Delta_{ped} \) [12].

\[
\alpha = -\frac{2\mu_0 V/\phi}{(2\pi)^2} \left( \frac{V}{2\pi^2 R_c} \right)^{1/2} \frac{\partial P}{\partial \psi} \bigg|_{\psi_R = 1 - 2\Delta_{ped}}
\]  

In Eq. (2), \( V \) is the plasma volume, \( R_c \) is the geometric center of the poloidal flux contour, \( P \) is the plasma pressure, and \( \psi \) is the poloidal flux. Smaller \( \alpha_i \) flatter pressure profile in the connection region.

The PBM becomes more destabilized as \( \alpha_i \) increases as shown in Fig 3. The growth rate decreased faster as \( \alpha_i \) decreased. Especially, the change in the higher mode \( n \) was relatively larger than that in the lower \( n \) cases. For example, the growth rate of \( n = 5 \) decreased by 30% as \( \alpha_i \) decreased from 0.12 to 0.06 while that of \( n = 15 \) was reduced by 80%. Since the pressure gradient has a greater effect on the ballooning component than the peeling one, the high \( n\)-
mode, which is dominated by the ballooning component, appears to be more sensitive to $\alpha$.

The mode structure of PBM ($n = 5$) is also shown in Fig 4. The structure of PBM becomes narrower as $\alpha$ increases from 0.06 to 0.12 in Fig 4. It is also consistent with previous study [13, 14]. This tendency proves the interaction between the pressure gradient in the connection region and the PBM. Even if the pressure profile in the pedestal remains the same, the stability of the edge PBM is affected by $\alpha$. As $\alpha$ decreases, the destabilizing source in the connection region is reduced while that in the edge remains the same. This makes the growth rate and the mode width of the PBM decrease.

III. RESULTS

1. Effect of $\alpha_i$ on the pedestal structure

To investigate the effect of $\alpha_i$ on the pedestal structure, we used EPED1 [3] to predict the pedestal height and the width for various $\alpha_i$. EPED1 was applied to the target plasma shown in Table I, and the change of the pedestal was calculated by varying $\alpha_i$ and $P_0$. The reason for changing $P_0$ in this work is to distinguish the effect of shafanov shift ($\Delta_{sh}$) and $\alpha_i$ on the pedestal, where $\Delta_{sh}$ can be expressed as Eq. (3) in simple geometry [15].

$$\Delta_{sh} \propto \beta_p + \frac{l_i}{2} \tag{3}$$

When $P_0$ is fixed, poloidal beta increases with $\alpha_i$ and consequently $\Delta_{sh}$ is reduced. Previous studies have shown that as the $\Delta_{sh}$ increases, the stability and structure of the pedestal improves significantly [16, 17]. Therefore, $\alpha_i$ and $\Delta_{sh}$ should be separately considered in our study to clearly see the role of $\alpha_i$ in edge pedestal. The width and the height of the pedestal determined by the EPED1 for different $\alpha_i$ and $\Delta_{sh}$ are shown in Fig 5.

As shown in the Fig 5, the height and the width of the pedestal increase as decreasing $\alpha_i$. When $\Delta_{sh}$ is fixed, the height and the width of the pedestal rise by 10% and 25%, respectively, as $\alpha_i$ decreased from 0.12 to 0.06. This tendency is consistent with previous experiment results [18, 19]. In addition, the pedestal is greatly improved as $\Delta_{sh}$
increases. For example, the pedestal height increases by 30% as $\Delta_{sh}$ changes from 0.1 to 0.14 while $\alpha_i$ is fixed at 0.08. This behavior of the edge pedestal with $\Delta_{sh}$ is also consistent to previous studies [20, 21] and experiment results [22-25]. These tendencies of the pedestal structure on $\alpha_i$ and $\Delta_{sh}$ can be understood with their stabilization effect on PBM (see Fig 1).

2. Strategies to optimize the pedestal structure

According to the previous analysis, pedestal height and width can be improved by adjusting $\alpha_i$ and $\Delta_{sh}$. Since $\Delta_{sh}$ is proportional to global poloidal beta ($\beta_p$) and internal inductance ($l_i$), we can control $\Delta_{sh}$ and $\alpha_i$ through changing the core pressure profile. The relationship between the core profile and the pedestal is simplified in Fig 6 for better understanding. In this figure, $\nabla P_i$ is the pressure gradient at $\psi_N = 1 - 2\Delta_{ped}$. In addition, blue line and red line mean the positive and the negative effect, respectively.

As shown in Fig 6, $\beta_p$ and $l_i$ increase with $P_y$ (while $\nabla P_i$ is fixed), resulting in larger $\Delta_{sh}$. In the case of $\nabla P_i$, $\beta_p$ increases with $\nabla P_i$ while $l_i$ decreases because the current density profile peaking is reduced by $\nabla P_i$. $\alpha_i$ also increases with $\nabla P_i$ by its definition. As a result, we can say that large $P_y$ is favorable to the edge pedestal as it increases $\Delta_{sh}$ so to stabilize the pedestal stability. However, effects of $\nabla P_i$ on the pedestal structure is not simple. Since $\nabla P_i$ can simultaneously increase $\alpha_i$ and $\Delta_{sh}$ that have opposite effects on the edge pedestal, pedestal height and width will not change monotonically with respect to $\nabla P_i$. Therefore, it would exist optimal $\nabla P_i$ that can maximize the pedestal height and width.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We have analyzed the effect of the pressure gradient in the connection region on the edge stability. The stability of the pedestal is improved as $\alpha_i$ decreases. It turns out that PBM is stabilized with $\alpha_i$ through the mode interaction.
between the mode in the edge pedestal and the connection region. Furthermore, we tested the effect of $\alpha_i$ in the pedestal structure, and found that the height and width of the pedestal can be improved by reducing $\alpha_i$. We also found the consistency between our results and experimental findings [18, 19].

By considering each role of $\alpha_i$ and $\Delta_{sh}$ in the pedestal stability, we suggest the strategy to find the core pressure profile that can optimize the pedestal structure. From our analysis, it is found that the pedestal structure can be improved by increasing $P_0$ and adjusting $\nabla P_i$. In other words, we can improve the pedestal performance through adjusting the profile peaking. We expect this approach can be used in designing future high performance tokamak plasmas owing to the improved edge pedestal. Further work to understand effect of $\alpha_i$ on various plasma is planned.
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